

THESIS / THÈSE

MASTER IN BIOCHEMISTRY AND MOLECULAR AND CELLULAR BIOLOGY

Characterization of the major outer membrane proteins Omp25 and Omp25 in the pathogen *Brucella abortus*

Izquierdo Lafuente, Beatriz

Award date:
2018

Awarding institution:
University of Namur

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Faculté des Sciences

**Characterization of the major outer membrane proteins Omp25 and Omp2b
in the pathogen *Brucella abortus***

**Mémoire présenté pour l'obtention
du grade académique de master 120 en biochimie et biologie moléculaire et cellulaire**

Beatriz IZQUIERDO LAFUENTE

Janvier 2018

Université de Namur
FACULTE DES SCIENCES
Secrétariat du Département de Biologie
Rue de Bruxelles 61 - 5000 NAMUR
Téléphone: + 32(0)81.72.44.18 - Téléfax: + 32(0)81.72.44.20
E-mail: joelle.jonet@unamur.be - <http://www.unamur.be>

Characterization of the major outer membrane proteins Omp25 and Omp2b in the pathogen *Brucella abortus*

IZQUIERDO LAFUENTE Beatriz

Abstract

Brucella are pathogens, which mainly infect animals and accidentally humans. *Brucella abortus* is a facultative intracellular Gram-negative bacterium. Their cell envelope is thus composed of two membranes, the inner membrane and the outer membrane (OM). The OM contains proteins called Omps and its outer leaflet is composed of lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Omp25 and Omp2b are the major Omps of *B. abortus*. Omp25 is a major non-essential protein, well conserved among the Rhizobiales. Surprisingly, the function of Omp25 is not well characterized. We have created a deletion mutant for *omp25* in *B. abortus* 544 ($\Delta omp25$). This mutant was not impaired in growth in rich medium. However, under stress conditions with Triton X-100, bacteria were more susceptible than the wild type, suggesting a role of Omp25 in the membrane stability or an alteration of OM composition in the $\Delta omp25$ mutant. The $\Delta omp25$ bacteria are smaller in size than the wild type (WT). Interestingly, WT bacteria exhibit different Omp25 localization patterns in the OM according to the growth phase. 10% of the total bacterial population were unlabelled in the stationary phase. These data could be explained by a differential regulation of the *omp25* gene, which is a direct target of CtrA, a major regulator of the cell cycle, according to ChIP-seq (chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing) data. In contrast to Omp25, Omp2b is an essential porin, poorly conserved in Rhizobiales, displaying a patchy distribution in the *B. abortus* outer membrane. Since previous data from our laboratory have shown that patches of Omp2b partially colocalize with patches of R-LPS, we attempted to determine the existence of a potential interaction between R-LPS and the porin. Nevertheless, it was not possible to confirm any interaction between these two molecules using co-immunoprecipitation under the tested conditions.

Mémoire de master 120 en biochimie et biologie moléculaire et cellulaire

Janvier 2018

Promoteur: X. De Bolle

Université de Namur
FACULTE DES SCIENCES
Secrétariat du Département de Biologie
Rue de Bruxelles 61 - 5000 NAMUR
Téléphone: + 32(0)81.72.44.18 - Téléfax: + 32(0)81.72.44.20
E-mail: joelle.jonet@unamur.be - <http://www.unamur.be>

Caractérisation des protéines majeures Omp25 et Omp2b chez *Brucella abortus*

IZQUIERDO LAFUENTE Beatriz

Résumé

Les *Brucella* sont des bactéries pathogènes qui infectent principalement les animaux, et accidentellement l'humain. *Brucella abortus* est une bactérie intracellulaire facultative, à Gram-négatif. Leur enveloppe cellulaire est donc composée de deux membranes, les membranes interne et externe. La membrane externe (OM) contient des protéines appelées Omps et son feuillet externe est composé de LPS (lipopolysaccharide). Omp25 et Omp2b sont les principales Omps de *B. abortus*. Omp25 est une protéine majeure non essentielle, et bien conservée chez les Rhizobiales. Etonnamment, la fonction de Omp25 n'est pas bien caractérisée. Nous avons créé un mutant de délétion pour *omp25* dans *B. abortus* 544 ($\Delta omp25$). Ce mutant n'était pas altéré pour sa croissance en milieu riche. Cependant, dans certaines conditions de stress avec Triton X-100, les bactéries étaient plus sensibles que la souche sauvage (WT), suggérant un rôle d'Omp25 dans la stabilité de l'OM ou une altération de la composition de l'OM dans le mutant $\Delta omp25$. Les bactéries $\Delta omp25$ sont plus petites que la WT. Curieusement, les bactéries WT présentent une disposition de l'Omp25 en OM qui variable en fonction de la phase de croissance. 10% de la population bactérienne n'étaient pas marquées en phase stationnaire. Ces données pourraient être expliquées par une régulation différentielle du gène *omp25*, qui est une cible directe de CtrA, un régulateur majeur du cycle cellulaire, d'après les données de ChIP-seq (immunoprécipitation chromatinienne suivie de séquençage à haut débit). Au contraire de l'Omp25, Omp2b est une porine essentielle, peu conservée chez les Rhizobiales qui présente une répartition en grappes (patches), non-homogène dans la membrane externe de *B. abortus*. Etant donné que des données précédentes du laboratoire ont montré que les patches d'Omp2b colocalisent partiellement avec des patches de R-LPS, nous avons tenté de tester l'existence d'une interaction potentielle entre R-LPS et Omp2b. Néanmoins, il n'a pas été possible de confirmer cette possible interaction entre ces deux composants de l'OM par co-immunoprécipitation, dans les conditions testées.

Mémoire de master 120 en biochimie et biologie moléculaire et cellulaire

Janvier 2018

Promoteur: X. De Bolle

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank all the members of the URBM team and Gemo for make me feel part of their team during these 10 months.

I would like to thank Xavier de Bolle for giving me the opportunity to undertake the master thesis in his group. For his dedication and help. I really appreciate the time you spent to give me ideas for new experiments and discuss together different hypothesis every time I had a non-expecting result.

I would like to express my gratitude to Victoria Vassen, my mentor, Vicky for friends. Thanks to you I have learned how to work in a laboratory like a German scientist. You taught me how to be precise, how to be independent in my work and how to think as a scientist. Thank you for all the time you spent in teaching me everything. You were a good teacher.

I should also mention the Xa team, Mathilde, Katy, Georges, J-F, Phoung, Aurore and the new incorporations Pierre, Angy and Agnes. Thank you for all the advice and help. Thank you Kevin for all your help in the BL3 and for the musical ambience you created every time you made me sing a Spanish song or just a song. Thank you Francesco for being always smiley with me.

Thank to all the memos. Girls, you were there, every time I needed you. Thank you Audrey, Sarah and Pauline, I really enjoyed all our meetings in the office. Thanks Elias, for your Spanish conversations and the Friday nights in the lab. Thanks Thomas, for being so nice to me and helping me with all my questions and doubts. But especially, thank you Carolina, for believe in me and listening to my crazy ideas in science. You are a really good friend. I wish you all the best in the future.

I would like to thank my family, for being that supportive and for the continuous encouraging. Thank you for being there even if you are 1645km away. Thank you Luis for just simply being around, you were my home here in Belgium.

Finally, I would also like to thank to the members of my jury (Th. Arnould, S. Gillet, M. Jadot and E. Muraille) to take the time for reading this manuscript.

You never fail until you stop trying
Albert Einstein

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Cell envelope.....	1
1.1 Bacteria classification.....	1
1.2 Gram-negative bacteria.....	1
1.2.1 Cell envelope components.....	1
1.2.2 Membrane permeability.....	3
1.2.3 LPS interactions with Omps.....	4
1.3 Bacterial cell length.....	4
2. <i>Brucella abortus</i>	5
2.1 Alphaproteobacterium.....	5
2.2. <i>Brucella</i> genus.....	5
2.3 Pathogenicity.....	6
2.4 <i>Brucella</i> 's infection and trafficking.....	6
2.5 <i>Brucella</i> type of growth.....	7
2.6 <i>Brucella</i> cell membrane.....	8
2.6.1 LPS in <i>Brucella</i>	8
2.6.2 Omps in <i>Brucella</i>	9
2.6.3 Group 2 Omps.....	10
2.6.4 Group 3 Omps.....	11
3. Objectives.....	12
Material and methods	13
Results	21
Characterization of <i>B. abortus</i> $\Delta omp25$	21
<i>B. abortus</i> $\Delta omp25$ confirmation.....	21
Detection of Omp25 on the surface of <i>B. abortus</i> 544 and $\Delta omp25$	21
Study of the growth mode.....	22
Comparison of bacterial cell length.....	22
OD/CFU experiment.....	23
Sensitivity test in <i>B. abortus</i> 544 wild type and $\Delta omp25$	23
Infection of RAW264.7 macrophages in the $\Delta omp25$ and wild type.....	24
R- and S-LPS content in the wild type and $\Delta omp25$ strains.....	24
Characterization of the Omp2b protein.....	27
Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of Omp2b with R-LPS.....	27
Conservation of Omp25 and Omp2b among Rhizobiales.....	28
Omp25-like proteins.....	28
Search for peptidoglycan-binding site(s) in Omps.....	29
Discussion and perspectives	30
1. Characterization of the <i>B. abortus</i> $\Delta omp25$ mutant.....	30
2. Attempts to characterize Omp2b.....	33
3. Sequence analysis of Omp25 and Omp2b.....	34
4. Conclusions.....	36

ABBREVIATIONS

APS	Ammonium Persulfate
BCV	<i>Brucella</i> -containing vacuole
CFU	Colony-forming unit
ChIP-seq	Chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing
Cm	Chloramphenicol
Co-IP	Co-immunoprecipitation
DAP	Diaminopimelic
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's
ERES	ER exist sites
FCS	Fetal Calf Serum
FRET	Förster Resonance Energy Transfer
GFP	Green fluorescdnt protein
IF	Immunofluorescence
IM	Inner membrane
IP	Immunoprecipitation
IPTG	Isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
IS	Insertion sequences
Kan	Kanamycin
LAMP-1	Lysosomal Associated-Membrane Protein-1
LB	Luria-Bertani
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
Nal	Nalidixic acid
OD	Optical density
OM	Outer membrane
oN	Overnight
PAL	Peptidoglycan associated proteins
PBS	Phosphate-buffered saline
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PFA	Paraformaldehyde
PG	Peptidoglycan
R-LPS	Rough LPS
RE	Endoplasmic Reticulum
RT	Room Temperature
RTqPCR	Reverse transcription PCR
S-LPS	Smooth LPS
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
T4SS	Type IV secretion system
TEMED	Tetramethylethylenediamine
Tm	Melting Temperature
TRSE	Texas red-X succinimidyl ester
X-Gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside
WT	Wild type

INTRODUCTION

1. Cell envelope

1.2 Bacteria classification

Bacteria are mainly classified in two large groups: Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. This classification comes from 1884 when Christian Gram's developed a staining technique, which allowed the classification of the bacteria into these two groups (*Gram, 1884*). Gram-positive bacteria are those bacteria, which retain the Christian stain whereas the Gram-negative bacteria do not. The Christian's stain is composed of a primary stain, the crystal violet and a secondary stain, the carbol fuchsin or saffranin. This differential stain is based on the composition and structure of the cell envelope (*Beveridge, 1999; Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010*).

Both groups of bacteria possess a polymer structure called the peptidoglycan (PG), which differs in width between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. In fact, Gram-positive bacteria present many layers of peptidoglycan, which are 30-100 nm of thickness. Gram-negative bacteria contain only few layers and less thick than those of Gram-positive bacteria (*Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010*). This is crucial for the differential staining as the peptidoglycan from Gram-positive bacteria is coloured by the Christian's stain (Crystal violet) whereas the Gram-negative bacteria lose the stain when washed and only retains the secondary stain, which colours bacteria in red (*Beveridge, 1999*).

However, the most defining feature of Gram-negative bacteria is the presence of two different membranes, the inner and the outer membrane. This outer membrane is absent in the Gram-positive bacteria and it acts as a selective permeability barrier. This outer membrane is composed by a lipopolysaccharide called the LPS, which makes Gram-negative bacteria more resistant than Gram-positive bacteria (*Beveridge, 1999; Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010*) (**Figure 1**). Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria form distinct phylogenetic groups, except for *Mycobacteria*, that are phylogenetically Gram-positive but have selected the presence of an outer membrane (*Nikaido and Brennan, 1995*).

1.2 Gram-negative bacteria

1.2.1 Cell envelope components

In Gram-negative bacteria, the inner and the outer membranes delimit an aqueous compartment called the periplasm. This aqueous compartment is more viscous than the cytoplasm as it presents a lower rate of protein diffusion (*Mullineaux et al., 2006*). It is composed of a dense pack of proteins. Many proteins found in the periplasm are called the periplasmic binding proteins and they participate in processes such as the transport of sugar and amino acids, detoxification, chemotaxis and the envelope biogenesis (*Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010*).




Figure 1. Cell envelope of *E. coli* K-12. Image from transmission electron microscopy shows the cell envelope of a Gram-negative bacterium. Outer membrane (OM), periplasm (PM) and the peptidoglycan (P) are indicated with black arrows. Scale bar 100 nm (Beveridge, 1999).




Figure 2. LPS structure. LPS consist of a lipid A structure followed by a core of oligosaccharides which is then extended in a long chain of polysaccharides called the O-antigen or O-chain. GlcNAc, N-acetylglucosamine; Kdo, 3-deoxy-D-manno-oct-2-ulosonic acid; Hep, L-glycero-D-manno-heptose; Glc, D-glucose; Gal, galactose; P, phosphate; PEtN, Pentaerythritol tetranitrate. (Sperandeo, Martorana and Polissi, 2016).

Besides the periplasmic proteins, the periplasm also contains the peptidoglycan. The peptidoglycan is a huge polymer of repeating units of the monosaccharides N-acetyl glucosamine and N-acetyl muramic acid, which are linked by tetrapeptide side chains. This peptidoglycan stabilizes the cell envelope against the high internal osmotic pressure, gives rigidity and determines the cell shape (Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010).

The outer membrane (OM) and the inner membrane (IM) are different in composition. The inner membrane consists of a phospholipid bilayer whereas the outer membrane is an asymmetric bilayer formed by a phospholipidic inner leaflet and an outer leaflet of lipopolysaccharides (LPS). LPS is an amphipathic molecule only present in the OM of the Gram-negative bacteria. LPS is comprised of a hydrophobic membrane anchor, lipid A, which is followed by a core of oligosaccharides. It is extended with a chain of polysaccharides, the O-antigen, which can present different lengths (Bos, Robert and Tommassen, 2007; Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010; Simpson et al., 2015; Konovalova, Kahne et al., 2017) (**Figure 2**). LPS was considered to be essential for cell survival. Many bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cannot survive without LPS. However, other bacteria like *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Moraxella catarrhalis* do (Simpson et al., 2015; Bos and Tommassen, 2005; Moffat, 2010; Peng et al., 2005; Steeghs et al., 2002; Steeghs et al., 1998). Since the core and the O-chain are not essential for growth, bacteria can synthesize a short version of LPS, the rough LPS (R-LPS) containing the lipid A and the core but lacking the O-chain and opposite to the full version termed as smooth LPS (S-LPS) (Delcour, 2009; Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010; Zhang, Meredith and Kahne, 2013; Simpson et al., 2015; Sperandio, Martorana and Polissi, 2016).

The phospholipid inner leaflet of the OM presents the same lipid composition than the inner membrane, which is composed of phospholipids, mainly phosphatidyl-ethanolamine (70-80%), phosphatidylglycerol and cardiolipin, all equally distributed among the inner and outer leaflet of the IM (Koebnik, Locher and Van Gelder, 2000).

Furthermore, the OM contains proteins, the outer membrane proteins (referred as Omps) and the lipoproteins. A fraction of these Omps are integral proteins, which have different roles such as passive diffusion (porins), the specific uptake of nutrients and the incorporation of other Omps into the outer membrane. Unlike the proteins found in the inner membrane, which are consisting out of α -helix, these integral proteins consist of antiparallel amphipathic β -strands disposed in the form of a barrel with a hydrophilic interior but a hydrophobic exterior, in contact with the hydrophobic fatty acids of LPS and phospholipids (Koebnik, Locher and Van Gelder, 2000; Luirink et al., 2005; Bos, Robert and Tommassen, 2007; Delcour, 2009; Simpson et al., 2015;). On the contrary, lipoproteins are anchored to the outer membrane by the lipid part covalently linked to the N' terminus (generated by signal cleavage at the IM). They mainly participate in OM and PG biogenesis and envelope stress adaptation. Strikingly, in most of the lipoproteins, the function remains unknown (Koebnik, Locher and Van Gelder, 2000; Konovalova, Kahne and Silhavy, 2017).




Figure 3. Gram-negative cell envelope. The cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria consists of a cytoplasmic (inner) and an outer membrane. The inner membrane is a phospholipid bilayer whereas the outer membrane comprises an inner leaflet of phospholipids and an outer leaflet of LPS. Between these two membranes, the periplasm is found and immersed in it, the peptidoglycan. The peptidoglycan is a huge polymer consisting of repeating sugar units linked by tetrapeptide side chains. Moreover, there are envelope proteins called periplasmic proteins because they are present in the periplasm (red and orange spheres); lipoproteins present in the outer membrane (blue spheres) and integral outer membrane proteins (OMPs). The stability of the membrane is due to interaction between the lipoprotein (blue oval) and the OmpA (yellow barrel) proteins with the peptidoglycan. The Tol-Pal (peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein) complex, which is composed of TolA, TolB, TolQ, TolR and Pal, also binds to the peptidoglycan (orange oval). In the inner membrane there are proteins related to the incorporation of the outer membrane components into the outer membrane and specific autotransporters. (Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2015).

The OM is stabilized by the presence of some of these lipoproteins anchored to PG. The most abundant protein (about 1 million copies per cell) presents in the OM is the Braun's lipoprotein (Lpp) (*Braun, 1975*). This lipoprotein is homogenously distributed over the entire cell wall and a third of them are covalently bound to the PG by the ϵ -amino group of the carboxyterminal lysine residue (*Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010*). The Tol-Pal complex (peptidoglycan associated lipoprotein), which consists of TolA, TolB, TolQ, TolR and Pal proteins, participates in the invagination of the outer membrane during cell division and also interacts with the PG (*Beveridge, 1999; Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2015*).

In addition, OmpA, a major outer membrane protein in *E. coli* thought to contribute to membrane integrity, it contains a peptidoglycan-binding site for the diaminopimelic acid (DAP, part of the peptidoglycan) in its C-terminal domain, outside of the β -barrel structure (*Ishida, Garcia-Herrero and Vogel; 2014*). OmpA presents pore-forming properties but its main function is the membrane stability. Indeed, OmpA exhibits two conformations, a closed conformation, which expose a periplasmic domain and a less abundant form which conforms an open β -barrel with a similar diffusion than the major general porin, OmpF (*Beveridge, 1999; Delcour, 2009; Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010; Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2015*) (**Figure 3**).

1.2.2 Membrane permeability

The outer membrane acts as a protective barrier against the harmful substances present in the environment and the host. Gram-negative bacteria are resistant to host defences such as lysozyme, B-lysin and bile salts, which are toxic for the Gram-positive bacteria. Furthermore, this protective barrier limits the permeability of the envelope for several antibiotics, which are effective against other bacteria such as macrolides, novobiocin, rifamycins, lincomycin, clindamycin, and fusidic acid (*Niakido and Vaara, 1985*).

The Omps together with the LPS give the peculiar permeability properties to the Gram-negative bacteria. LPS molecules form a tightly packed layer due to the acyl chains present in the lipid A, which are highly saturated, and the lateral interactions mediated by the divalent cations Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} , which neutralize the negative charges of the phosphates groups present in the lipid A (*Niakido and Vaara, 1985; Silhavy, Kahne and Walker, 2010; Sperandeo, Martorana and Polissi, 2016*).

Therefore, the OM becomes a strong barrier against the hydrophobic molecules as shown by the resistance to hydrophobic antibiotics (e.g., macrolides, rifampicins) and detergents (e.g., Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), bile salts, Triton X-100) (*Leive, 1974; Nikaido and Nakae, 1979; Niakido and Vaara, 1985*).

However, small hydrophilic molecules can easily pass across the OM thanks to Omps. Some of the most abundant Omps display a β -barrel structure that allows the formation of a pore. This pore can be either open or closed. When the pore is open, as in the general porins, an inner folded extracellular loop (L3) restricts the constriction site limiting the pore size and the permeation properties of the porin. These porins act as non-specific channels that give access to small hydrophilic molecules smaller than 600 Daltons (*Delcour, 2009*). Slightly hydrophobic substances are hardly able to go through the pores of the porin thanks to the nature of the pore (*Niakido and Vaara, 1985*).

Moreover, the IM is missing these porins and these hydrophilic substances that easily diffuse through the porins, cannot pass into the cytoplasm unless they are recognized by specific auto-transporters. Phospholipid layers are permeable to hydrophobic molecules. Thus, LPS retains these molecules, which can pass through the inner membrane, in the outer membrane. If necessary, among these Omps, there are some that act as specific channels for the transport of certain solutes. This opposite permeability characteristic of these two membranes allows bacteria to adapt to harsh environments (Niakido and Vaara, 1985; Bos, Robert and Tommassen, 2007; Simpson et al., 2015).

1.2.3 LPS interactions with Omps

In order that the OM remains a selective barrier, LPS molecules and Omps have to tightly interact to maintain the structure of a dense pack (Niakido and Vaara, 1985).

The first indication about this interaction was observed when the levels of Omps decreased in mutants with deficiency in LPS in *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium*. This decrease was most pronounced in the general porins OmpF and OmpC but less significant in the OmpA structural protein (Koplow and Goldfine, 1974, Ames et al., 1974; Niakido and Vaara, 1985). LPS-Omps interaction has also been later confirmed in *E. coli* and other different species such as *Yersinia pestis* (Vakorina et al., 2003; Arunmanee et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2016).

In fact, LPS was revealed to interact in a non-covalently manner with Omps by hydrophobic interactions and van der Waals forces between the acyl groups of the lipid A and the hydrophobic residues from the external wall of the Omp barrel. Basic amino acids form salt bridges with the phosphate groups of LPS. LPS parts display different flexibilities. Lipid A results in the rigid part whereas the O-antigen is more flexible. Bacteria can exhibit heterogeneous systems of LPS with R- and S-LPS versions. In these systems, the adjacent O-antigen chains show weak interactions and more flexibility than those homogeneous systems in which O-antigen chains have the same length. If the O-chain is long, it could shield the opening of the pore thus compromising the function of the porin. Therefore, these heterogeneous systems are quite relevant because they do not compromise the pore opening by shielding it with the O-antigen chains (Patel et al., 2016).

1.3 Bacterial cell length

Bacteria modulate their size to preserve their viability to survive in the environment. Bacteria need to accommodate all the proteins, structures and machineries to maintain their metabolism. Obligate intracellular pathogens present a reduce size compared to the free-living bacteria presumably because many metabolic activities are supplied by the host. On the contrary, in large bacteria, the problem resides in diffusion. The transport of biomolecules inside bacteria takes place by molecular diffusion. For most molecules, an efficient transport is produced in a short distance of a few microns. If the ratio between surface area and volume is altered, the transport of nutrients becomes then insufficient (Koch, 1996; Schulz and Jørgensen, 2001; Levin and Angert, 2015; Westfall and Levin, 2017).

Bacteria can present a wide diversity in size. However, for a given species, individual bacteria maintain a regular range in size (Deforet, Van Ditmarsch, Xavier, 2015).




Figure 4. Cell size regulation. Cell size can be regulated by time or size. *E. coli* initiates replication when bacteria reach a critical size whereas in *B. subtilis* time control this process. In each generation, bacteria add the same volume regardless their size. After several cell cycles, this size is then normalized (Westfall and Levin, 2017).

All bacteria control their size, but the mechanisms they employ differ. In fact, it is well known that in rod-shape bacteria, cell cycle can be controlled by either a regulation on time or a regulation depending on size. Bacteria such as *E. coli* initiate the cell division when they reach a critical size whereas cell cycle in bacteria such as *B. subtilis* (Gram-positive bacteria) depends on time (Taheri-araghi et al., 2015; Westfall and Levin, 2017). In both cases, bacteria add a constant amount of volume (Δ) during each cell cycle before they divide. Daughter cells, which are small at the time of division, all add the same amount of volume. This constant addition does not immediately correct the cell size but after several cell cycles, this size is normalized (Amir, 2014; Westfall and Levin, 2017) (Figure 4).

Moreover, bacterial size is correlated with nutrient availability. Bacteria are significantly larger in rich-nutrient medium conditions in contrast to bacteria cultured under poor-nutrient conditions (Schaechter, Maaloe, Kjeldgaard, 1958). In order to maintain the cell size homeostasis, cells growing slowly due to the nutrient limitation adjust the Δ in order to maintain a constant mean size (Deforet, Van Ditmarsch and Xavier, 2015; Taheri-Araghi et al., 2015). Growth rate is then related to cell size. During replication, rapidly growing bacteria like *E. coli* initiate one or several replication forks before the previous one is completed. Thus, bacteria after division still present active replication forks. In the case of slowly growing bacteria, the time needed for a complete cell cycle exceeds the time needed for the elongation. Therefore, there are never more than two replicative forks in a cell to ensure that the division is coordinated with the size (Cooper, 1968; Sharpe et al., 1998; Wear et al., 2007). This, together with the Δ adjustment, ensures the cell size homeostasis.

2. *Brucella abortus*

2.1 *B. abortus* is an alphaproteobacterium

Brucella is a pathogen that belongs to the α -sub-division of proteobacteria (Gram-negative bacteria). These proteobacteria show great variability in their ecological niches. Their lifestyle differs according to their host relationship. They can be symbiotic or pathogen for plants, insects or animals. Moreover, they can behave as intracellular or extracellular pathogens being either obligate or facultative pathogenic bacteria. Free-living bacteria are also found in soil and water (Batut, Andersson and O'Callaghan, 2004; Van der Henst et al., 2013).

Ribosomal 16S RNA similarities positioned *Brucella* spp. in the α -class of proteobacteria (Moreno and Moriyó, 2006). *Brucella* are phylogenetically belonging to the order Rhizobiales (Yanagi, and Yamasato, 1993; Cloeckaert et al., 2002; Cameron, Zupan and Zambryski, 2015). In this group, diverse bacteria are found, ranging from the plant pathogen *Agrobacterium* and the facultative intracellular pathogens *Brucella* and *Bartonella* to obligate intracellular pathogens of mammals and insects (*Rickettsia*, *Anaplasma*). Plant symbionts known as Rhizobia (e.g. *Shinorhizobium*) and the opportunistic bacteria *Ochrobactrum* also form part of this group (Batut, Andersson and O'Callaghan, 2004; Cameron, Zupan and Zambryski, 2015).

2.2. The *Brucella* genus

Brucella spp. are intracellular facultative bacteria responsible for the human zoonosis called brucellosis.




Figure 5. Transmission of *Brucella* in animals and humans. The *Brucella* transmission within the natural host occurs via abortion, mating and shedding in milk. Humans can be infected via ingestion of unpasteurized milk or by occupational exposure (farmers and veterinarians). Human-human transmission is a quite rare event (Athuri *et al.*, 2011).




Figure 6. *Brucella* intracellular trafficking. After *Brucella* is internalized into the host cell, the *Brucella* containing vacuole (BCV) acquires markers of the early (A) and later late endosomes (shown in yellow and green, respectively) before being fused with the lysosome (shown in red) (E). *Brucella* is able to avoid the fusion with the lysosomes and interact with the ER exit sites (ERES) to fuse with the ER (shown in blue) (B, C and D). Mutants lacking the *virB* operon (encoding the type IV secretion system) are not able to interact with the ERES, leading to the fusion with the lysosome and the killing of *Brucella* (E) (Starr, *et al.*, 2008).

The *Brucella* genus comprises six classical species that are mainly classified according to their host infection specificities (*B. melitensis*, *B. abortus*, *B. suis*, *B. neotomae*, *B. ovis* and *B. canis*). This classification has been enlarged by the inclusion of four more species isolated from marine environments (Moreno and Moriyó, 2006; Jahans et al., 1997). Three species are considered as the main pathogenic species: *B. melitensis*, responsible for the ovine and caprine brucellosis, *B. abortus* for the bovine brucellosis and *B. suis* for the swine brucellosis (Cloeckaert et al., 2002).

Brucella is considered as an intracellular facultative pathogen, because it can multiply in extracellular and intracellular conditions even though they present host preferences. Under suitable environment circumstances of temperature and nutrient availability, *Brucella* can also survive but there is no evidence that they can replicate in an open environment (Corbel and Brinley-Morgan, 1984; Moreno and Moriyó, 2006).

2.3 Pathogenicity

Brucella can infect domesticated, wild and marine animals (Moreno and Moriyó, 2006). The infection in animals can lead to abortion in pregnant females and infertility in males (Van der Henst et al., 2013). Transmission within the host mainly occurs by close contact, ingestion of milk, via aerosols generated by the abortion in infected females, via semen or by secretions during the mating and parturition (Athuri et al., 2011).

Despite the fact that animals are the preferential hosts, *Brucella* can also accidentally infect humans. This infection in humans is more severe and it results in quite diverse symptoms varying from an asymptomatic disease to the Malta fever, characterized by an acute manifestation of undulant fever (Van der Henst et al., 2013; Cloeckaert et al., 2002; Moreno, 2014 Moreno and Moriyó, 2006;). If left untreated, this disease can become chronic and it may affect many organs, causing arthritis, orchitis, hepatitis, encephalomyelitis, and endocarditis (De Figueiredo et al., 2015). The main source of infection is the occupational exposure either by aerosols when working with infected animals or by direct contact of the placenta or aborted foetus. Moreover, *Brucella* can be transmitted by ingestion of products derived from unpasteurized milk (Athuri et al., 2011; Van der Henst et al., 2013; De Figueiredo et al., 2015). Since humans are considered as accidental or dead-end hosts, reports of human-to-human transmission are quite rare (Athuri et al., 2011) (Figure 5).

2.4 *Brucella*'s infection and trafficking

Brucella can enter into the host by the mucosal membranes of the respiratory, digestive and genital tracts (von Barga, Gorvel and Salcedo, 2012). Once inside the host organism, the bacteria can infect different types of cells from professional and non-professional phagocytes to epithelial cells. The intracellular lifestyle is characterized by two phases, a non-proliferative phase, which occur during the trafficking, and a proliferative phase, which takes place inside the replication niche, an endoplasmic reticulum-derived compartment (Deghelt et al., 2014).

After the internalization in the host cell, *Brucella* resides in a membrane-bound compartment named BCV (*Brucella*-containing vacuole) (Starr, et al., 2008; Myeni et al., 2013). Intracellular pathogens have the ability to modify the trafficking pathway in order to survive inside the host cells (Pizarro-Cerdá et al., 1998).

Brucella also alters this pathway to avoid the fusion with the lysosome and reach the Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER), its replication niche.

During the maturation along the endosomal pathway, the BCV is slowly acquiring some markers for the late-endosomal pathways such as Rab7 and LAMP-1 (Lysosomal Associated-Membrane Protein-1) (Starr, *et al.*, 2008). In this step, the BCV is also acidified. This acidification is crucial for the replication of the bacteria as the low pH induces the expression of the *virB* operon, encoding the type IV secretion system (T4SS). This is an important virulent factor, which delivers effector molecules to facilitate the trafficking to the ER in the host cell (Myeni *et al.*, 2013; Boschirolì, 2002).

Further steps include the progressive exclusion of the LAMP-1 marker from the BCV. *Brucella* is able to control this trafficking and the maturation of the BCV to interact with an organelle derived from the ER, the ER exit sites (ERES) to reach the ER (Celli *et al.*, 2005). This process occurs when the BCV finally interacts with the ERES to proliferate massively (Figure 6).

2.5 *Brucella* type of growth

Bacteria usually display two modes of growth, the zonal or dispersion growth. In the zonal growth, the incorporation of the new cell envelope material comes to specific areas such as the midcell, whereas the dispersed growth spreads this addition in small patches along the membrane of the bacteria. Nevertheless, many rod-shaped bacteria such as Rhizobiales differ from these two growth models. Instead of exhibiting the typical zonal growth, they present a specific type of growth in which the new cell wall synthesis is restricted to a defined area in the mother cell, namely the new pole (Brown *et al.*, 2012; Cameron, Zupan and Zambryski, 2015). Once the unipolar growth is finished, the peptidoglycan synthesis is then redirected to the constriction site. Other bacteria also display polar growth (Howell and Brown, 2016)

Moreover, unipolar growth seems to be quite conserved among Rhizobiales as it was already shown in species like *Ochrobactrum anthropi* and *Sinorhizobium meliloti* (Brown *et al.*, 2012). In order to analyse this polar growth behaviour, bacteria can be labelled with the amine reactive dye Texas red-X succinimidyl ester (TRSE) to precisely identify the areas of active growth.

In Brown *et al.*, 2012, Cells were labelled with TRSE in order to monitor the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* growth. This compound labels the amine groups present on the surface of the bacterium. Bacteria are first completely labelled with TRSE, washed and then incubated in new fresh medium. Therefore, the new incorporated material will be unlabelled.

When *E. coli* was stained with TRSE, the TRSE compound was homogeneously diluted while the bacteria were growing and it only remained concentrated to the old cell poles because these regions consist of old material.




Figure 7. Growth in α -proteobacteria. Bacterial growth can be studied by using the dye TRSE that labels the surface of the bacteria completely. Then, bacteria are washed and incubated in new medium to allow new growth. Therefore, areas of new envelope synthesis, which will be unlabelled, can be identified. A) *E. coli* employs a dispersed growth mode in which the new incorporated materials come from different areas along the surface of the bacteria. Thus, the TRSE is diluted while the bacteria are growing. B) Other bacteria, such as Rhizobiales (*B. abortus*), present another mode of growth, the unipolar growth. In this case, the new material rises from one specific pole (Brown *et al.*, 2012).

This shows dispersed growth. In contrast in the case of *A. tumefaciens*, the TRSE labelling remained constant in the whole mother cell whereas the new unlabelled material emerged from one specific pole (Brown *et al.*, 2012). Studies in *B. abortus* revealed the same pattern of growth when they were also stained with TRSE (Brown *et al.*, 2012; Van der Henst *et al.*, 2013) (Figure 7).

In addition to this unipolar growth, Rhizobiales divide asymmetrically to produce two different cells in size and morphology as the daughter cell is composed of newly synthesized envelope (Hallez, Bellefontaine, Letesson and De Bolle, 2004; Van Der Henst *et al.*, 2013).

This polar growth is strongly coordinated with the cell cycle, which comprises the DNA replication and the chromosome segregation between the daughter and the mother cells (Cameron, Zupan and Zambryski, 2015).

2.6 *Brucella* cell membrane

2.6.1 LPS in *Brucella*

Although LPS is a quite well conserved structure in all Gram-negative bacteria, differences in its composition exist among species and strains (Rittig *et al.*, 2003).

Brucella spp. exhibit two LPS phenotypes. They can either express the full-version LPS containing the O-chain (called smooth LPS, S-LPS) or a version with an absent O-chain known as the rough LPS form (R-LPS) (Rittig *et al.*, 2003). The most infectious strains of *Brucella*, *B. melitensis*, *B. suis* and *B. abortus* are characterized as smooth LPS species by classical tests, whereas species such as *B. canis* and *B. ovis*, which are less virulent, are naturally occurring rough species (Cardoso *et al.*, 2006; Mancilla, 2016).

Classical LPS is a molecule known to have a profound effect in the human immune system because of its endotoxic properties. However, *Brucella* LPS is poorly endotoxic and inflammatory and it is unable to active the innate system response in a strong way (Mancilla, 2016).

In smooth species, rough bacteria can spontaneously emerge and accumulate in culture, under laboratory conditions. The genes responsible for the O-chain synthesis in *Brucella* are found in the same chromosome in two major regions *wbo* and *wbk*. The *wbo* region contains two glycosyltransferase genes (*wboA* and *wboB*) which form part of the genomic island GI-2, an unstable genetic element. On the contrary, the *wbk* genes are mostly involved in the polymerization and the translocation of the O-chain. The *wbk* genes do not form a continuous unit since the region contains several insertion sequences (IS). The genomic islands are maintained under selective pressure but upon changes in the environment, they can be released from the chromosome.

The excision of the island GI-2 can then lead to the loss of O-LPS genes, which are also located in recombination spots. Site-specific recombinase can excise these genes and along with the spontaneous mutations produced in *wbk*, they can trigger the dissociation of S-LPS to R-LPS (Mancilla et al., 2012, Mancilla, 2016).

That suggests that the O-chain synthesis is not essential and not metabolically demanding under culture conditions. The presence of the O-chain could be then a selective advantage in infection of the host (McQuiston et al., 1999; Rittig et al., 2003; Mancilla et al., 2012; Mancilla, 2016). The dissociation from S-LPS to R-LPS is stimulated by changes in the environmental conditions including nitrogen sources and exposure to D-alanine. D-alanine is an end metabolite that accumulates in the medium along time. Bacteria produce D-amino acids when they reach the stationary phase, These D-amino acid appear to modulate the PG composition. This could be probably a strategy to adapt to the environmental changing conditions (Lam et al., 2009). The accumulation of D-alanine decreases the growth of smooth bacteria whereas it increases the proportion of rough bacteria, which are alanine resistant (Braun et al., 1951; Goodlow et al., 1952).

LPS also gives *Brucella* some permeability properties, which are not present in other Gram-negative bacteria. *Brucella* is more resistant to cationic peptides (polymyxin B) and chelating detergents (EDTA) than *E. coli* (Moriyon and Berman, 1982; De Tejada et al., 1995). In the case of polymyxin B, R-strains are more sensitive to this cationic peptide than the S-strains suggesting that the smooth LPS plays an important role in the resistance of *Brucella* (De Tejada et al., 1995).

2.6.2 Omps in *Brucella*

The major outer membrane proteins of *Brucella* were first identified in 1980 by detergent extraction of cell envelopes. They are classified according to their apparent molecular mass into three groups: group 1 with proteins from 94 to 88 kDa, group 2 with 33-36 kDa proteins and group 3 with Omps from 31-34 to 25-27 kDa (Cloeckaert et al., 1996 a; Moriyón and Lopez-Goni, 1998; Cloeckaert et al., 2002; Moreno and Moriyón, 2006).

The group 2 of Omps consists of porins (Omp2b and Omp2a) whereas the group 3 contains three unrelated proteins, Omp3a (Omp25) Omp3b (Omp22) and Omp31, in which, based on the amino acid composition and similarities, Omp25 is considered the counterpart of OmpA (*E. coli*) (Moreno and Moriyón, 2006) except that it does not have a C-terminal PG-binding domain (Ishida, Garcia-Herrero and Vogel; 2014). The group 1 of Omps include the Omp85 (also called BamA), which allow the incorporation of the other Omps into the outer membrane. In *E. coli*, this Omp is tightly associated with four lipoproteins and together they form the Bam complex. This complex is responsible for the translocation of the outer membrane proteins from the periplasm into the outer membrane (Gatsos et al., 2008).

Brucella also contains three minor outer membrane proteins: Omp10, Omp16 and Omp19. Omp16 shows significant similarity to the PALs proteins of Gram-negative bacteria, known for binding the peptidoglycan (peptidoglycan associated lipoproteins) (Tibor et al., 1996; Tibor and Letesson, 1999).




Figure 8. Representative model of the outer membrane of *Brucella*. Major outer membrane proteins are indicated and named by their apparent molecular mass. Lipoproteins showing the acyl groups anchored to the membrane. Braun's lipoprotein may be linked to peptidoglycan or free in the outer membrane. The lipid A (represented by yellow trapezoids) is linked to fatty acids (black zigzag structures). The red squares at the bottom of the structure mark the hydroxyl groups of the LPS. Dark circles represent phospholipids (Moreno and Moriyón, 2006).

Omp10 and Omp19 have no homologs yet described, and their function is not well studied. These three Omps contain in their sequences conserved signal typical of bacterial lipoprotein suggesting the presence of a lipidic anchor, which was later confirmed experimentally (Tibor, Decelle and Letesson, 1999; Moreno and Moriyón, 2006) (Figure 8).

2.6.3 Group 2 Omps

The group 2 comprises the genes *omp2a* and *omp2b*, which encode proteins associated to porin activity (Verstrete et al., 1982; Douglas et al., 1984; Marquis and Ficht, 1993). Hybridization and DNA sequences analysis revealed the presence of two duplicated genes, named as *omp2a* and *omp2b* (Ficht et al., 1988; Ficht et al., 1989; Ficht et al., 1990; Marquis and Ficht, 1993). Both genes share 85% of identity at the amino acid level. Moreover, they are found in close proximity, separated by 850 bp and oriented in opposite directions (Ficht et al., 1989; Marquis and Ficht, 1993; Cloeckaert et al., 1996 a; Moriyón and Lopez-Goni, 1998; Cloeckaert et al., 2002). This gene arrangement was studied by Southern blot with genomic DNA cut by specific restriction enzymes. Specific probes were used to detect *omp2b* and *omp2a* genes. The *omp2a* and *omp2b* location appeared to be highly conserved in all *Brucella* species even though the predicted size of the two porins was highly diverse (Ficht et al., 1990; Ficht et al., 1996). However, *B. suis* presented two gene copies more related to *omp2a* than to *omp2b*. These observations lead to the hypothesis that this *omp2* polymorphism was due to genetic conversion. Indeed, *omp2a* was considered as the progenitor copy, which would serve as a template for the *omp2b* conversion. These corrections may have occurred over evolution in *Brucella*, resulting in the different size variants of the *omp2* genes (Ficht et al., 1990; Ficht et al., 1996; Paquet et al., 2001).

According to their nucleotide sequences, *omp2b* would encode a 36-kDa protein and *omp2a* would encode a smaller version due to a deletion of 108 bp in the coding sequence. The expression of *omp2a* and *omp2b* was analysed in *B. abortus*. Only the 36 kDa protein corresponding to the Omp2b porin was detected and thus it was assumed that the *omp2a* gene was not expressed in *B. abortus* (Paquet et al., 2001; Ficht et al., 1989). This was further confirmed by RNA sequence analysis in which *omp2b* seemed to be expressed whereas *omp2a* does not (Marty Roop, unpublished data). Omp2a protein was only observed when the promoter controlling the *omp2* region was inversed in *E. coli* (Ficht et al., 1989).

Omp2b is able to form oligomers resistant to SDS denaturation, a characteristic of porins as such from *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium*. When the *omp2a* gene was expressed in *E. coli*, an increasing hydrophobicity was observed, as it also occurs with other porins (Marquis and Ficht, 1993; Cloeckaert et al., 2002). Both porins in *B. abortus* are similar in amino acid composition to *E. coli* porins and they exhibit similar internal pore diameters (Douglas et al., 1984; Cloeckaert, 1996; Mobasheri et al., 1997; Moriyón et Lopez-Goni, 1998; Paquet et al., 2001).

The predicted topology of Omp2b consists of a trimer in which each monomer contains 16-stranded β -barrels with long external loops (Paquet et al., 1999; Moriyón et Lopez-Goni, 1998; Cloeckaert et al., 2002). The main difference between the two Omps is the presence of two insertion/deletions in the beginning of the external loops L3 and L5 of Omp2a.

Omp2b exhibits a well-defined homotrimeric structure whereas Omp2a forms monomer pores, which are smaller than those in Omp2b (Mobasheri *et al.*, 1997; Paquet *et al.*, 2001). The pore activity resides in the L3 external loop in most porins, restricting the sugar permeability (Jap *et al.*, 1996; Paquet *et al.*, 2001). Omp2a L3 external loop is more negatively charged, suggesting that it could have an impact in the pore activity. Omp2b of *B. abortus* displays similar sugar permeability than Omp2a. In fact, the external loop L5 is also shorter in the Omp2b of *B. abortus* and it is responsible for the smaller size of the pore, which appears to be crucial for the sugar permeability. This was confirmed by Omp2b of *B. suis*, which presents a larger L5 external pore and a consequent lower sugar permeability. Therefore, this small deletion could enlarge the opening of the pore, contributing to the determination of the pore diameter (Paquet *et al.*, 2001; Cloeckaert *et al.*, 2002).

Furthermore, Omp2b is essential in *B. melitensis* (Laloux *et al.*, 2010). Regarding recent Tn-seq data generated in the host laboratory (PhD project J-F Sternon), it is suggested that *omp2b* also seems to be essential in *B. abortus* 2308 wild type strain.

2.6.4 Group 3 Omps

The group 3 of Omps in *Brucella* comprises three major Omps (Omp25 (Omp3a), Omp31a and b) and other 5 homologous (minor) proteins, the Omp25-like proteins (Omp25b, c, d) and Omp22 (Omp3b) (Cloeckaert *et al.*, 1996 a ; Moriyón and Lopez-Goni, 1998; Cloeckaert *et al.*, 2002; Salhi *et al.*, 2003; Moreno and Moriyón, 2006; Caro-Hernández *et al.*, 2007).

Little is known about the minor five Omps, even though they have been detected experimentally in at least one *Brucella* species. Omp31b has been identified in *B. abortus* and *B. suis* and the Omp25-like proteins, Omp25b and c in *B. suis* and *B. melitensis*, Omp25c was also identified in *B. abortus* (DelVecchio *et al.*, 2002; Guzmán-Verri *et al.*, 2002; Salhi *et al.*, 2003). The Omp25d evidence is less clear as it was only weakly detected in a mutant lacking *omp25* gene in *B. suis* (Salhi *et al.*, 2003).

Omp31 protein is produced in all *Brucella* species except *B. abortus*. *B. abortus* carries a deletion of 10 kb which includes the Omp31a gene and probably other genes still not characterized (Vizcaino *et al.*, 1997; Moreno and Moriyón, 2006). Moreover, the absence in *B. abortus* suggests that this protein is not essential, at least in this species (Moreno and Moriyón, 2006). Omp31 is able to form oligomers resistant to SDS denaturation as well as porins can do. Therefore, Omp31 could act as a porin in those *Brucella* species in which there have been reported a reduced expression of the genes from group 2 (porins) (Cloeckaert *et al.*, 1996 a; Vizcaino *et al.*, 1996).

The amino acids sequences of Omp25 and Omp31 share 34% of identity. The predicted structure of Omp31 shows an eight-stranded β -barrel with external loops larger than those present in Omp25 (Cloeckaert *et al.*, 1996 a; Moriyón *et al.*, 1998; Cloeckaert *et al.*, 2002; Vizcaino, 2007).

Omp25 is a non-essential protein with a predicted structure composed by eight transmembrane β -strands connected by external loops exposed to the surface (Cloeckaert *et al.*, 2002). It is highly conserved among all *Brucella* species (Cloeckaert *et al.*, 1996 a and b).

OmpA from *E. coli* was previously proposed to be the counterpart of Omp25 based on the amino acid sequence (Verstreate *et al.*, 1982). Omp25 shows some similarities in the secondary structure of *E. coli* OmpA, but they are different in size (Baldermann, *et al.*, 1998; Moriyón *et Lopez-Goni*, 1998). However, sequencing the *omp25* gene in *B. abortus* revealed no significant homology to others OmpA of Gram-negative bacteria (de Wergifosse *et al.*, 1995). Although OmpA share a low similarity to Omp25, Omp25 could have a similar role in the OM of *Brucella* (Moriyón *et Lopez-Goni*, 1998). OmpA is a major Omp considered to have a significant role in the membrane integrity as mutants lacking OmpA show membrane instability (Wang, 2000).

Furthermore, Omp25 seems to be involved in the virulence of *B. melitensis* as bacteria lacking the *omp25* gene are attenuated during infection in mice. BALB-C mice were infected intravenously with *Brucella* lacking *omp25* gene and results showed a significant decrease in the CFU/spleen counts (Edmonds *et al.*, 2002). Omp25 was also proposed to inhibit the TNF- α release from infected human macrophages (Jubier-Maurin *et al.*, 2001; Salhi *et al.*, 2003), but the mechanisms behind this phenotype are unclear and could result from indirect effects, such as envelope alteration in the *omp25* mutant compared to the wild type strain, having an effect on host cell signalling pathways.

3. Objectives

In the present study, we will investigate the function of the most abundant Omps of *B. abortus*, Omp25 and Omp2b. We chose a genetic approach to investigate their functions. Therefore, we will generate a deletion mutant of *omp25* and a depletion mutant of *omp2b* by allelic replacement, since *omp2b* is essential.

Omp25 is a major non-essential Omp but its function is not well defined. It has been shown that CtrA, a cell regulator crucial for the cell division in *B. abortus*, could control the expression of the *omp25* gene. When CtrA is depleted, the abundance and location of Omp25 is altered (Francis *et al.*, 2017). We will create a deletion mutant (Δ *omp25*) of *B. abortus* 544 in order to analyse the possible effects of the absence of Omp25 on the membrane morphology when bacteria undergo different type of stresses. Moreover, as Omp25 is a major component in the outer membrane, the type of growth and the cell size will be studied as well as the composition of the outer membrane when Omp25 is missing. As mentioned above, mutants in *B. melitensis* lacking *omp25* are attenuated during mice infection (Edmonds *et al.*, 2002). Here, the virulence in *B. abortus* will be also studied by infection in non-activated RAW 264.7 macrophages, as a first step of its characterization in infection models.

Since Omp2b is an essential protein in *B. melitensis* (Laloux *et al.*, 2010) and a previous study in the host laboratory showed that Omp2b is also essential in *B. abortus* (PhD project J-F Sternon), we will create a depletion strain of *B. abortus* 544, Δ *omp2b* *p*_{lac}-*omp2b*, in order to study its effect on the growth and pathogenicity of the bacterium. Interestingly, R-LPS was shown to be partially colocalized with the essential Omp2b porin (V. Vassen, on going PhD thesis). This potential interaction between Omp2b and R-LPS will be examined in a biochemical approach by the analysis of a possible copurification of R-LPS and S-LPS with Omp2b.

In addition, some bioinformatics analyses will be performed to characterize Omp2b and Omp25 at the structural level. Furthermore, possible PG-associated proteins will be studied *in silico* to predict PG binding site(s) in these Omps of *B. abortus*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Brucella abortus 544 wild type strain was used for the described experiments. *Brucella* was grown in 2YT rich medium (1 % yeast extract, 1.6 % peptone, 0.5 % NaCl) at 37°C. *Brucella* was spontaneously modified to be resistant to nalidixic acid (Nal^R).

Escherichia coli DH10b was used for plasmid constructions and *E. coli* S17-1 for conjugation with *B. abortus* 544. *E. coli* S17-1 strain was used for conjugation as it has conjugal transfer functions. Both *E. coli* strains were grown in LB (Luria-Bertani) medium at 37°C. If necessary, antibiotics were added to the medium with the following concentrations: kanamycin (10 µg/ml), chloramphenicol (20 µg/ml) or nalidixic acid (25 µg/ml). To select *B. abortus* clones having excised the plasmid by homologous recombination in the context of gene deletion, the medium was supplemented with 5% of sucrose.

Plasmids

pNPTS138 (also called pNPTS hereunder), which has a kanamycin resistant marker (Kan^R) and sucrose-sensitivity marker (*sacB*), was used for the mutant constructions. pNPTS contains an origin of conjugative transfer (*oriT*) and it cannot replicate in *Brucella*. pBBR1 plasmid with a chloramphenicol resistance marker (Cm^R) was used for the complementation of the $\Delta omp25$ strain. pBBR1 (Cm^R) with a *lac*-promoter inducible with IPTG (Isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) was used as the plasmid containing the rescue copy for the depletion $\Delta omp2b$ p_{lac-omp2b} strain.

Plasmid constructions were named as: pNPTS_ $\Delta omp25$ and pNPTS_ $\Delta omp2b$ for the construction of the deletion of *omp25* and *omp2b* in *Brucella* respectively pBBR1_*omp25* for the complementation in $\Delta omp25$ strain and pBBR1_*omp2b* for the creation of the rescue copy for *omp2b*.

PCR and cloning

In order to construct the plasmid for the creation of deletion mutants, the fragments up- and downstream of the gene of interest were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR mix contained Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (100U, 1000bp/30s, Biolabs), Q5 buffer (5x, Biolabs), dNTPs (1.25 mM each), genomic DNA (60 ng/µl), distilled H₂O and forward and reverse primers (20 µM each) to have a final volume of 50 µl. Forward primer from the downstream region contains a flanking sequence corresponding to the complementary terminal fragment of the upstream region.

Amplified fragments from upstream and downstream regions were then joined by joining-PCR. PCR products corresponding to the upstream and downstream regions were hybridized thanks to the flanking sequence from the downstream region (complementary to the upstream region ending).

Then, the resulting fragment was amplified by PCR. PCR mix was unchanged except for primers forward and reverse that were used to amplify the whole deletion fragment (upstream and downstream region joined) and added after 5 cycles of alignment of the upstream and downstream regions.

PCR programs with Q5 polymerase were composed of a first DNA denaturation step of 98°C for 30 seconds followed by 30 amplification cycles. Each cycle was composed of a DNA denaturation step (98°C for 10 seconds), a primer hybridization step (temperature set according to primers T_m (melting temperature), for 30 seconds), and an elongation step at 72°C (duration depending on the length of the PCR product to be amplified). Eventually, after the 30 amplification cycles, a final elongation step was performed at 72°C during 5 minutes. In the particular case of joining PCR, a classical PCR program (without primers added) of 5 cycles was performed to join the PCR products of the upstream and downstream region. Then, primers were added into the mix to amplify the entire product (composed of the joined initial products) for 30 cycles. PCR products were purified with the NucleoSpin or Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Nucleospin).

The pNPTS138 (Kan^R, sacB^S) plasmid was used for the cloning of the PCR product containing the upstream and downstream regions. The plasmid and the PCR product were digested the corresponding restriction enzymes (BamHI and XhoI, Thermo Fisher fast digest pack) and ligated. 1 µg of DNA was restricted with the appropriate enzymes (1 U) in fast digest buffer and incubated for 15 min at 37°C. The plasmid and the insert were then ligated with T4 ligase in the T4 ligase buffer (Invitrogen) and incubated either at 18°C overnight (oN) or at room temperature (RT) for 1 h.

To construct the rescue copy for the depletion mutant for *omp2b*, the *omp2b* gene was amplified by PCR and cloned into the pBBRI plasmid using BamHI and XhoI as restriction enzymes. In the case of the complementation strain for the $\Delta omp25$ strain, *omp25* gene was cloned into pBBR1 using KpnI and BamHI for the restriction sites. Primers used for the construction of the mutants are detailed in **Table S1**.

Transformation by heat-shock

Transformation was performed with the competent cells (transformed by CaCl₂ method) of *E. coli* DH10b and *E. coli* S17-1 strains (stored at -80°C). 300 ng of the purified plasmid or the ligation approach were mixed with the cells and incubated around 20 min on ice. Then, cells were incubated 1 min at 42°C for the heat shock and 2 min on ice. To recover, LB was added and cells were agitated for 45 min at 37°C. Cells were later plated with the corresponding antibiotics. IPTG (24 µg/ml) and X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside) (20 µg/ml) were added if blue-white screening was performed.

Colonies were screened in order to check the presence of the plasmid of interest by using screening PCR. PCR mix included Taq polymerase enzyme (10⁻⁴U, 1000bp/60s, Promega), GoTaq buffer (5x, Promega), dNTPs (1.25mM each), picked colony, H₂O, forward and reverse primers (20 µM each) to have a final volume of 20 µl.

The PCR programme was composed of a first DNA denaturation step (94°C, during 5 minutes), followed by 30 cycles containing an initial denaturation step (94°C, during 30 seconds), followed by a hybridization step (T_m according to primers during 30 seconds), and eventually an elongation step (72°C for a time dependent on the length of the PCR product). The programme was then ended by a final elongation step of 72°C for 5 minutes.

Construction of mutants by allelic replacement

In this work, two mutants will be constructed: the deletion strain *B. abortus* 544 $\Delta omp25$ and the depletion strain *Brucella abortus* $\Delta omp2b$ $p_{lac-omp2b}$ strain. Moreover, *Brucella abortus* 544 $\Delta omp25$ strain will be complemented with a plasmid containing the *omp25* gene ($\Delta omp25$ -pBBR1-*omp25*, named $\Delta omp25^+$).

Conjugation

Conjugation is an adequate genetic engineering tool to transfer genetic material from one bacterium to another. It has some advantages over the other techniques such as transformation as it has a minimum effect in the disruption of the cell envelope.

Fifty μ l of an oN culture of *E. coli* S17-1, containing either pNPTS- $\Delta omp25$ or pNPTS- $\Delta omp2b$ plasmids, were mixed with 1 ml of stationary phase of *B. abortus* 544 and then centrifuged at 7000 rpm for 2.5 min. Two washing steps with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Biowhittaker®, LONZA) were performed by centrifugations at 7000 rpm for 2.5 min and the pellet was resuspended in 2YT medium. A concentrated mix of both bacteria was plated on a 2YT plate and then incubated oN at 37°C for integrative plasmid. Mix of bacteria was collected and resuspended in PBS. The bacterial suspension was again plated but with the corresponding antibiotic (Kan) and nalidixic acid to kill *E. coli* and incubated for 2-3 days at 37°C. First homologous recombination leading to the integration of the plasmid in *Brucella* was verified by screening PCR with primers binding in the genome and the backbone of the plasmid. Colonies were streaked in 2YT agar plates containing Kan without Nal. Then, selected clones with the pNPTS integrated in the genome were incubated oN without antibiotics.

Diluted oN culture (x5) was plated on plates with 5% sucrose to promote the excision of the plasmid backbone in the genome. *Brucella* clones sensitive to kanamycin but resistant to sucrose were selected as possible mutants. The second recombination to excise the plasmid from the genome was also verified by diagnostic PCR.

For the construction of the depletion $\Delta omp2b$ $p_{lac-omp2b}$ mutant, additional steps before the selection with sucrose were performed. *E. coli* S17-1 with the replicative rescue copy (pBBR1-*omp2b*) was mixed with *B. abortus* 544 containing the integrated plasmid pNPTS- $\Delta omp2b$. Mix of the bacteria was washed with 2YT medium as explained above and resuspended bacteria were incubated at 37°C during 4 h. Cells were again plated but in 2YT with the corresponding antibiotic (Cm) and nalidixic acid to kill *E. coli* and incubated for 2-3 days. The following steps were performed as described before. For the sucrose selection, medium was supplemented with IPTG to allow a high expression of the gene from the rescue copy.

IPTG is an analogue of the allolactose, which indirectly starts the transcription of the lac operon from the lac promoter by inhibiting the repression operated by the Lac repressor (encoded by lacI, also present on the pBBRI plasmid backbone). IPTG is thus used to induce the expression of genes under the control of the lac promoter.

To construct the complementation strain of $\Delta omp25$, *E. coli* S17-1 containing pBBR1_ $\Delta omp25$ was mixed with *B. abortus* 544 $\Delta omp25$. Instead of incubating the mix on plate at 37°C oN, bacteria were incubated only 4h at RT, because the complementation plasmid is replicative.

Growth at the single cell level (TRSE labelling)

1 ml of oN cultures of *B. abortus* 544 wild type and $\Delta omp25$ were washed twice with PBS by centrifugation at 7,000 rpm, 2.5 min. The resulting pellets were resuspended in 1 ml of PBS containing TRSE (Texas red goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L), Invitrogen) (1 µg/ml) and incubated for 15 min at RT in the dark. Cells were then washed twice with PBS by centrifugation at 7000 rpm, 2.5 min and incubated in fresh 2YT medium for 2 h. Small portion of the suspensions (2 µl) were kept for microscopy on PBS agarose pads (1% agarose) as time= 0h. After 2h growth, samples were washed two times and 2 µl were used on PBS agarose pads (1% agarose) for the observation with the fluorescence microscope. Images were visualized by microscope Nikon eclipse 80 and analysed by Nis-element, Imaging software (Nikon).

Growth at the population level (Bioscreen) in different mediums

200µl of a diluted oN culture of *B. abortus* 544 and *B. abortus* 544 $\Delta omp25$ with an optical density (OD) of 0.1 were loaded on a 96-well plate. Bacteria were incubated in different media: 2YT, 0.5% 2YT Triton X-100 (VWR chemical), 1% 2YT Triton X-100, 2YT Sodium dodecyl sulfate 0.1% (SDS, VWR chemical) and 2YT polymyxin B (PMB, Sigma) at concentrations from 1µg/ml to 20µg/ml. Bioscreen measured optical density during 72h every 30 min at 37 °C. Bacteria were analysed by technical and biological triplicates.

Sensitivity tests in plates

Plates containing different stress agents were prepared as following: 2YT 1% Triton X-100, 2YT 0.1% Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 2YT 1 mg/ml deoxycholate (DOC) and 2YT 1µg/ml PMB. 2YT plates without any additives were used as control.

Serial dilutions of exponential phase (OD=0.3) culture of *B. abortus* 544, $\Delta omp25$ and $\Delta omp25^+$ were plated. Experiments were performed with continuing cultures allowed to reach the stationary phase after 5h at 37°C.

Immunofluorescence (IF)

50 µl of an exponential phase (OD=0.3) culture of *B. abortus* 544 and $\Delta omp25$ strains were washed twice with PBS by centrifugation at 7,000 rpm, 2.5 min. The resulting pellets were then resuspended in 50 µl of the corresponding first antibody (A68/04B10/F05, undiluted supernatant from hybridoma culture containing anti-Omp25 antibody) and incubated with agitation for 40 min at RT. Samples were twice washed with PBS by centrifugation at 4000 rpm during 2.5 min at 4°C. The pellets were resuspended carefully in 50 µl of the secondary antibody anti-mouse Alexa fluorTM 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) diluted 1:500 in PBS and incubated for 40 min at RT with agitation and protected from light. Samples were washed twice with PBS by centrifugation at 4000 rpm, 2.5 min at 4°C. Final pellets were resuspended in PBS and 2 µl of the solution were used for visualization by fluorescence microscopy on PBS agarose pads (1% agarose). Bacterial cultures were incubated further for 5h to reach stationary phase (OD= 0.9-1.2) and the experiment continued as explained above.

Images were visualized by use of the fluorescence microscope Nikon eclipse 80 and analysed by Nis-element, Imaging software (Nikon) and ImageJ MicrobeJ software.

Co-labelling of R-LPS and S-LPS by IF

50 µl of an exponential phase (OD=0.3) culture of *B. abortus* 544 and $\Delta omp25$ strain were washed twice with PBS by centrifugation at 7000 rpm, 2.5 min. The resulting pellets were resuspended in 50 µl of the corresponding first antibody against R-LPS (A68/3F3/D5, undiluted supernatant from hybridoma culture) and incubated with agitation for 40 min at RT. Then, samples were twice washed by centrifugation at 4000 rpm during 2.5 min at 4°C. The pellets were resuspended in 50 µl of the first antibody against S-LPS (B66/4F9, undiluted supernatant from hybridoma culture) and incubated for 40 min by agitation at RT. Bacterial cultures were washed again two times with PBS.

The pellets were resuspended carefully in 50 µl of the secondary antibodies anti- mouse recognizing specific isotypes from the two first antibodies used (S-LPS: Alexa fluoreTM 594 goat anti-mouse IgG2a (y2a), R-LPS: Alexa fluorTM 488 goat anti-mouse IgG2b (y2b)) diluted 1:500 in PBS and incubated for 40 min at RT with agitation and protected from light. Samples were washed twice with PBS by centrifugation at 4000 rpm, 2.5 min at 4°C. Final pellets were resuspended in PBS and 2 µl of the solution were used for visualization on fluorescence microscopy on PBS agarose pads (1% agarose). Bacterial cultures were incubated for 5h to reach stationary phase (OD= 0.9-1.2) and the experiment was repeated as explained above.

Flow cytometry reverse

250 µl of an exponential phase (OD=0.3) culture of *B. abortus* 544, $\Delta omp25$ and $\Delta omp25^+$ were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) during 20 minutes at RT. Initial bacterial cultures were incubated for 5h to reach stationary phase (OD= 0.9-1.2) and bacteria were also fixed with PFA. Then, the co-labelling explained above was performed. Secondaries antibodies used were Alexa fluorTM 647 goat anti-mouse IgG2a (y2a) and Alexa fluorTM 488 goat anti-mouse IgG2b (y2b) for S-LPS and R-LPS respectively. Afterwards, 300 µl of the flow cytometry buffer were added to the samples and a flow cytometry analysis was carried out with BD FACS reverse machine.

Bacteria only incubated with the secondaries antibodies were used as negative control for each strain. Samples were visualized on fluorescence microscope to confirm the labelling of the antibodies to the corresponding molecules.

Infection of RAW264.7 macrophages

Non-activated RAW264.7 macrophages, cultivated in DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium, Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 5% of FCS (Fetal Calf Serum) medium, were seed in a concentration of 1×10^5 cells/ml in a 24-well plate. Cells were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ oN. oN bacterial cultures of *B. abortus* 544 and $\Delta omp25$ were diluted to reach a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 50 in DMEM medium. DMEM medium from the cells was discarded and 500 μ l medium containing bacteria were added. Plates were centrifuged at 1200 rpm during 10 min at 4°C. After this step, the plates were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂. After 1h of incubation, cells were washed twice with pre-warmed DMEM. DMEM with gentamycin (50 μ g/ml) was added to kill the extracellular bacteria. After 2h post-infection, wells were washed two times with PBS. DMEM with gentamycin (10 μ g/ml) was added to the cells incubated until later timepoint PI and plates were incubated for 24h post infection and 48h post infection at 37°C 5% CO₂.

At every desired time post infection, cells were washed two times with PBS. 500 μ l of Triton X-100 0.1% diluted in PBS was added to lyse the eukaryotic cells and the plates were incubated at 37°C during 10 min. Afterwards, every well was flashed 15 times and the solution was recovered to prepare several dilutions to plate the CFU. 20 μ l of every dilutions of interest from the wild type and the mutant strain were plated on 2YT agar plates and incubated for 2-3 days at 37°C.

OD/CFU experiment

oN cultures of *B. abortus* 544, $\Delta omp25$ and $\Delta omp25^+$ were diluted to an OD of 0.1 in 50 ml of 2YT liquid media. Cultures were incubated at 37°C and ODs were measured from 0-9h, 24-33h and 48-57 h every three hours. Dilutions of CFUs for each timepoint were plated and incubated for 2-3 days at 37°C. Technical replicates n=9, biological replicate n=1.

Immunoprecipitation of Omp2b

Extraction of Omps from cell envelope

Extraction of Omps from *B. abortus* 544 was carried out to prepare the co-immunoprecipitation of Omp2b with R-LPS.

High volume of exponential phase culture of *B. abortus* 544 (100 ml) with an OD of 0.3 was concentrated by performing several centrifugation steps at 7000 rpm, 2.5 min. For subsequent peptidoglycan digestion, cells were mixed with DNase (1 mg/ml, Roche) and lysozyme (5 mg/ml, Roche) in TES buffer (Tris-HCl 10 mM pH 7.5, EDTA (Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) 1 mM, NaCl 100 mM). For the physical breakage of the cells, a Zirconia/Silica beads mix was used (0.1 and 0.5 mm beads 1:1). Bacteria were lysed with cell disruptor (Digital Disruptor Genie, Scientific industries) at maximum amplitude (2800) for 60 min at RT. Two washing steps were performed by centrifugation at 7,000 rpm, 2.5 min and the sample was filtered with a 0.2 µm filter. Samples before and after filtration were kept for western blot analysis to test the presence of Omp2b and R-LPS during the extraction.

Co-localization R-LPS with Omp2b

The filtered sample from the cell lysis was incubated with the same volume of specific monoclonal antibody against Omp2b (A68/4D11/G11, undiluted supernatants of hybridoma cell culture) and with TES buffer used as negative control during 2h in agitation on a rotator wheel. 20 µl of magnetic beads covalently linked to protein A Sepharose, which can bind to the IgG, were washed four times with PBS-Tween 20 (PBS-T) and then twice with TES buffer. Beads and the samples were mixed and incubated on the rotator wheel for 2 hours. The samples were then washed six times with TES buffer-Tween (1%) and beads were recovered by using magnets. Antibodies were eluted from the beads when SDS loading buffer (20% β-mercaptoethanol, 8% SDS, 0.12M Tris-HCL pH6.8, 40% glycerol and 0.05 Bromophenol blue) (1x) was added to the samples. The suspension was then incubated 10 min at 70°C. Supernatants containing Omp2b with the possible binding partners were kept and boiled at 95°C during 10 min for SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis).

Western blot for *Brucella* lysate

A liquid culture of *Brucella* was concentrated to reach an OD of 10 by centrifugation at 13000 rpm during 5 min. Cells were killed by incubation for 1 h at 80°C. SDS-loading dye was added (1:4) and samples were boiled at 95 °C for 10 min. 20 µl of each sample was loaded on a SDS-PAGE two-parts gels, the running gel and the stacking gel (**Table S2**). The gel was run at 40 mA, 300 V. Transfer of the proteins from the gel to the nitrocellulose membrane was performed with a semi-dried principle (Transblot turbo Bio-Rad). Transfer was run at 14 V, 112 mA during 30 min. Then, blocking of the membrane was carried out with 5% of milk in PBS-T (0.5%) oN at 4°C or 1h at RT. S-LPS, R-LPS, Omp25 and Omp2b proteins were detected by specific monoclonal antibodies (LPS: A68/24D87/G9, Omp25: A68/04B10/F05, Omp2b: A68/15B06/C8 or A68/4D11/G11, undiluted supernatants of hybridoma cell culture) diluted 1:1000 in the 1% milk PBS-T.

Incubation with the primary antibody was done for 1 h at RT. Omp10 was used as a loading control if necessary and detected by a specific monoclonal antibody (A68/7G11/C10, 1:100, undiluted supernatant of hybridoma cell culture). Subsequently, the membrane was washed with PBS-T three times for 10 min each and then incubated during 1 h with the secondary antibody anti-mouse IgG (1:5000) conjugated with HRP (Horseradish peroxidase) diluted in 1% milk PBS-T. The membrane was washed again three times with PBS-T. Proteins were visualized by adding a mix of the peroxide solution and luminol/enhancer solution (1:1) to the membrane (ClarityTM ECL Western Substrate, Bio-Rad). Images were revealed with Amersham imager 6000.

Bioinformatic analysis

Omp2b and Omp25 alignments

Sequences for Omp2b and Omp25 proteins were retrieved from GenBank database and aligned to homologs from the order Rhizobiales, found in a Blastp search on NCBI website. Putative homologs of Omp25 (OmpA) and Omp2b (OmpF) from *E. coli* were added to the multiple alignment (Clustal Omega).

Possible Omp25-like proteins supposed to be present in the *Brucella* genome were identified by delta blastp tool (NCBI).

Peptidoglycan-associated proteins

Lipoproteins from *B. abortus* were analysed by multiple alignment (Clustal Omega) with the Pal, MotB and OmpA proteins known to bind to the peptidoglycan in *E. coli* (motif: NX2LSX2RAX2VX3L) (Koebnik, 1995). Braun's protein, the only protein recognized as covalently binding protein to the peptidoglycan (Braun, 1975), was also aligned with the *Brucella* lipoproteins to find a possible consensus sequence. Omp2b and Omp25 were added then to these analyses to search for a binding site to the peptidoglycan.




Figure 9. Omp25 presence in the $\Delta omp25$ mutant and WT strain. Omp25 was detected by a specific monoclonal antibody. Omp10 was used as a loading control.





Figure 10. Omp25 fluorescence in WT, $\Delta omp25$ and $\Delta omp25^+$. A) Immunofluorescence was performed in the exponential (OD=0.3) and early stationary phase (OD=0.9-1.2) from the same bacteria culture of the wild type, the mutant and the complementation strains. Presence of Omp25 on the bacterial surface was detected by specific monoclonal antibody and visualized by a fluorescent secondary antibody anti-mouse Alexa488. Scale bar 2 μ m. B) Wild type and complementation strains microscope images were analysed with MicrobeJ software and defined as fully labelled, partially labelled or not labelled. Total number of bacteria in exponential phase n=881, stationary phase n=1743, in the wild type. Total number of bacteria in exponential phase n=726, stationary phase n=666 in the complementation strain. Total bacteria analysed come from the three experiments performed. Statistical analysis by χ^2 test for the homogeneity of bacterial cell proportions in the different strains and conditions were performed (p value 10^{-15}).

RESULTS

Characterization of *B. abortus* $\Delta omp25$

B. abortus $\Delta omp25$ confirmation

The function of Omp25 is unclear despite its high abundance in the *B. abortus* OM. It was recently shown that the *omp25* gene (BAB1_0722) could be a direct target of the CtrA transcription factor, a cell cycle regulator crucial for cell division in *B. abortus* (Francis *et al.*, 2017). It was noticed that when CtrA is depleted, the abundance of Omp25 was decreased and its localization on the bacterial cells is affected (Francis *et al.*, 2017). As indicated in the Objectives section, a genetic approach was followed to try to propose new functions for Omp25.

We have created a $\Delta omp25$ mutant by allelic replacement in the *B. abortus* 544 Nal^R (wild type, WT) strain. The resulting mutant strain does not contain a marker (such as an antibiotic resistance cassette) inserted at the deletion site. We have also created a complementation strain $\Delta omp25$ -pBBR1_ *omp25* ($\Delta omp25^+$) with a plasmid carrying the *omp25* coding sequence under the control of a *E. coli lac* promoter (Cm^R) in the $\Delta omp25$ strain.

Monoclonal antibodies have been raised against several outer membrane proteins, including Omp25 (Cloeckhaert *et al.*, 1996). Since the expression of the different *omp25*-like genes may differ from one strain to another, it was important to check the specificity of the A68/04B10/F05 monoclonal antibody against Omp25. It was predicted that in the $\Delta omp25$ strain, antibody binding should be absent if this monoclonal is specific for this Omp25 protein. The A68/04B10/F05 monoclonal antibody was used to detect Omp25 in a crude bacterial cell lysate. Omp10 was used as loading control. Western blot images showed that the band corresponding to the expected size of Omp25 protein (25-kDa) was only present in the wild type (Figure 9).

Detection of Omp25 on the surface of *B. abortus* 544 and $\Delta omp25$

Omp25 detection on the surface of the bacteria was analysed by immunofluorescence. WT and $\Delta omp25$ bacteria grown until stationary phase (OD=0.9-1.2) were labelled with the same specific antibody directed against Omp25 in order to detect the presence of the protein on the surface of the bacteria. Results revealed that the wild type bacteria were labelled, whereas the bacteria of the mutant strain $\Delta omp25$ were unlabelled. Interestingly, some wild type bacteria were partially labelled under these experimental conditions. Thus, wild type bacterial cultures were analysed in the exponential (OD=0.3) and early stationary growth phases (OD= 0.9-1.2) to determine if the fluorescence coverage was different between both growth phases. This experiment was additionally performed in the mutant to further confirm the absence of Omp25 and in the complementation strain to verify that changes in the Omp25 labelling were due to the absence of the protein. (Figure 10A).

The fluorescence coverage of the wild type and $\Delta omp25^+$ bacteria was analysed by MicrobeJ, a plug-in of the ImageJ software (Ducret *et al.*, 2016). Bacteria were classified in three categories: unlabelled, partially labelled and labelled. Then, the fluorescence coverage was analysed.




Figure 11. Growth behaviour of $\Delta omp25$ and wild type strain visualized by TRSE labelling. Cells were labelled with TRSE, washed and then incubated for 2 h in fresh medium. Arrows indicate the new synthesized material. Scale bar 2 μm .




Figure 12. Growth behaviour of $\Delta omp25$ and WT strains. Bacterial growth in rich medium was analysed by measuring optical density during 72h every 30 min at 37 °C. n=3.

A threshold of 0.9 of fluorescence coverage was established for the bacteria defined as fully labelled, the bacteria with a fluorescence coverage from 0 to 0.9 being defined as partially labelled bacteria and those with a value of 0 coverage, as unlabelled bacteria.

Results suggested that the proportion of partially labelled wild type bacteria in stationary phase rises up from 13% to 19% when compared to the exponential phase. The difference was more drastic in the case of unlabelled bacteria in which the proportion rises from 1% to 10%. In the case of the complemented bacteria ($\Delta omp25^+$), the percentage of unlabelled bacteria increased from 10% in the exponential phase to 20% in the stationary phase. This increase was also observed in the partially labelled bacteria. In the exponential phase, partially bacteria constituted a 19% of the total population whereas the percentage is 47% in the stationary phase (**Figure 10B**). Statistics analyses were performed by a χ^2 test of independence between the proportions of cell types and the strains/culture conditions used (p-value $<10^{-15}$). It is therefore likely that our complementation does not produce enough Omp25 to cover the whole bacterial surface in the stationary phase of culture.

Study of the growth mode

B. abortus belongs to the order Rhizobiales, which present a specific type of growth, the unipolar growth (Van der Henst *et al.*, 2013; Brown *et al.*, 2012). In order to study if the mutant either exhibits or not the same pattern of growth, TRSE labelling was carried out. This dye binds to the amine groups of the surface of the bacteria. Bacteria were stained with TRSE, washed and then incubated in fresh medium in the absence of the dye. This allows the study of the bacterial growth by the incorporation of new material, which will be unlabelled. In Rhizobiales, the unlabelled material emerges from one pole, named as the new pole. Fluorescent microscopy images showed that the characteristic unipolar growth of *Brucella* was not altered by the deletion of the *omp25* gene (**Figure 11**).

Furthermore, the growth behaviour was studied in rich medium measuring the optical density of the bacterial culture. Growth curves did not show any difference comparing WT and the mutant strains. The doubling time of both strains was also similar (t_d WT= 2.92 h, $t_d \Delta omp25$ =2.86 h) in the exponential phase of culture (**Figure 12**).


Comparison of bacterial cell length

Initial microscopic observations suggested that the absence of Omp25 in the $\Delta omp25$ strain could lead to a reduction of size in the bacterial length. Analysed images were recorded in the immunofluorescence experiments performed in the exponential and the stationary phases from the same culture. Images were analysed by the imaging software ImageJ with the plug-in MicrobeJ to measure the length of the wild type, the $\Delta omp25$ and the $\Delta omp25^+$ bacteria. Bacterial length was classified in six ranges: 0.5-0.75 μ m, 0.75-1 μ m, 1-1.25 μ m, 1.25-1.5 μ m, 1.5-2 μ m and >2 μ m (**Figure 13**).


Results suggested that there was a higher proportion of the cell population with a smaller cell length in the mutant than in the wild type. This could be observed in both growth phases.

A

Exponential phase



Stationary phase



B





Figure 13. Cell length analysis in the wild type, $\Delta omp25$ and $\Delta omp25^+$. Bacteria cell length in exponential and stationary phase was measured in the wild type, mutant and complementation strains with the software MicrobeJ. Blue bars correspond to WT strain, green bars to $\Delta omp25$ and pink bars $\Delta omp25^+$. Overlapping colours refer to the proportion of bacteria with the same length in both strains. Total number of population comes from three different experiments. Total number of wild type bacteria $n=973$ in exponential phase and $n=938$ in stationary phase. Total number of bacteria in the mutant strain $n=1046$ in exponential phase, $n=937$ in stationary phase. Total number of bacteria in the complementation strain $n=726$ in exponential phase, $n=666$ in stationary phase. Results were analysed by χ^2 test of independence ($p\text{-value} < 10^{-15}$).

The proportion of bacteria with a length of 0-1.5µm was higher in the mutant than in the WT, especially in the exponential phase, whereas the WT culture showed a higher proportion of larger bacterial cells (**Figure 13A**). These results were also observed in the complementation strain when cell length was compared to wild type cell length (**Figure 13B**), suggesting that this cell length phenotype was independent of Omp25 or not complemented if the complementation plasmid did not allow a sufficient expression of *omp25*.

OD/CFU experiment

Since the $\Delta omp25$ mutant is forming smaller cells, it is likely that the OD/CFU ratio could be different between the wild type strain and the $\Delta omp25$ mutant. WT and $\Delta omp25$ cultures with a starting OD=0.1 were incubated during 57h in rich medium. Every three hours the OD of each strain was measured and cultures at the same time point were also plated to count CFU. Plates were incubated during 4 days at 37°C.

Growth curves did not display any difference between the WT and $\Delta omp25$ strains in the exponential phase, in the stationary phase, $\Delta omp25$ OD was decreased (**Figure 14A**). When the CFU for each OD measured was analysed, main differences were observed in the stationary phase (**Figure 14B**). All OD values reaching the stationary phase (OD >0.9) were collected and the number of bacteria per OD was calculated for each strain. Whiskers box were used to represent the dispersion of the data. However the dispersion of the data was really high in the WT and $\Delta omp25$ strains suggesting there was no difference in the numbers of bacteria/ml between the strains (**Figure 14C**).

Sensitivity test in *B. abortus* 544 wild type and $\Delta omp25$

Omp25 is one of the most abundant outer membrane proteins in *B. abortus* (Moreno and Moriyón, 2006) suggesting that Omp25 could also have a role in the membrane integrity. Thus, we studied the sensitivity of the $\Delta omp25$ strain to the cationic peptide detergent polymyxin B (PMB) and several detergents including sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), Triton X-100 and deoxycholate (DOC).

Brucella are known to be resistant to polymyxin B (Martínez de Tejada et al., 1995). Therefore, first tests were performed with PMB stress in order to determine if $\Delta omp25$ was more susceptible to this cationic peptide. Bacteria were incubated during 10 min with PMB at several concentrations (29 µg/ml, 117 µg/ml and 468 µg/ml). Then, serial dilutions were plated to count bacterial colonies. The colony forming units (CFU) results in the two highest concentrations of PMB tested (117 and 468 µg/ml) showed a decrease of a 2 log compared to the WT. The mutant displayed the same phenotype when compared to the wild type (**Figure 15**).

Next, other stress agents were used to study the sensitivity of both strains in a long-time exposure to the stress. SDS 1%, 0.5% and 0.1%, Triton X-100 1% and 0.5% and PMB, at different concentrations from 1 µg/ml to 100 µg/ml, were used. Growth profiles were analysed by bioscreen in which bacteria underwent these stress conditions in liquid medium for 72 hours. Both strains did not grow in any of the stress agents at any tested concentration (data not shown) except in the Triton X-100 stress. Under both Triton X-100 stress conditions (1% and 0.5%), already the wild type strain presented a growth defect showed by the fact that it reaches the stationary phase at OD=1.4 whereas in rich medium it can reach an OD of 2.




Figure 14. Relation between OD and CFU in the WT and $\Delta omp25$. Bacteria with an initial OD of 0.1 were incubated in rich medium at 37°C. Every three hours OD was measured and the respective CFU were counted. A) Graph representing the OD at the desired time points. B) CFU were counted at the desired points. C) Whiskers box plot to show the number of bacteria/Od from the CFU when the OD < 0.9 (stationary phase). Asterisk (*) represents the mean of the number of bacteria/OD of each strain.

Growth curves also revealed that the wild type displayed a slower growth compared to the growth curve in 2YT (t_d WT= 3.91 h instead of 2.92h). When $\Delta omp25$ was incubated under the same Triton X-100 stress conditions, the growth defect was even higher. Bacteria grew better in the exponential phase (t_d $\Delta omp25$ =2.36h) than the WT. At stationary phase, bacteria could grow but the mutant did not grow as well as the wild type as shown by a decrease in OD (OD=1). Growth curves showed that the difference in the stationary phase was higher with an increasing Triton X-100 concentration (**Figure 16**).

Different dilutions of the $\Delta omp25$ and wild type strains were also plated on 2YT agar plates containing specific concentrations of the detergents (100 μ g/ml polymyxin B, 2% and 0.1% SDS, 0.1mg/ml DOC, 1% Triton X-100). Bacteria were incubated on plates for 4 days at 37°C. Images of the agar plates showed no difference in the sensitivity to DOC of the $\Delta omp25$ when compared to the wild type (**Figure 17A**).

However, in the case of the Triton X-100 stress, wild type dilutions were comparable to those in 2YT. In the case of $\Delta omp25$, a strong growth defect was observed especially in the three last dilutions (10^{-3} - 10^{-5}) in the mutant being also unable to form WT-like colonies at the 10^{-2} dilution. Complementation strain displayed the same profile than the mutant, except for the exponential phase condition, in which a slight complementation was observed (**Figure 17B**). SDS and PMB stress were not possible to analyse because no colonies could grow for the wild type at the tested concentrations (data not shown).

Infection of RAW 264.7 macrophages in the $\Delta omp25$ and wild type

Infection of RAW264.7 macrophages was carried out to examine the intracellular survival of the mutant at different times post infection (PI). Non-activated RAW 264.7 macrophages were infected with the wild type and the $\Delta omp25$ strains. Bacteria were extracted at 2 h, 5 h, 24 h and 48 h PI and CFUs were counted. No significant difference could be observed at neither of the time points studied under this experimental condition (**Figure 18**).

R- and S-LPS content in the wild type and $\Delta omp25$ strains

Brucella species can present either a S-LPS or a R-LPS phenotype (Cardoso *et al.*, 2006; Caro-Hernandez *et al.* 2007). Previous data in the host laboratory showed that *B. abortus* possess a heterogeneous OM (PhD project V. Vassen). Indeed, S-LPS is homogenously distributed whereas R-LPS molecules are mostly present in patches.

If Omp25 is missing in the outer membrane, the composition of the membrane could have changed. In order to examine in further detail this hypothesis, co-labelling of the R-LPS and S-LPS was performed on the wild type and the $\Delta omp25$ strains in exponential phase (OD=0.3) and early stationary phase (OD= 0.9-1.2) following one bacterial culture. Specific antibodies against R-LPS and S-LPS were used and were detected by different secondary antibodies recognizing their specific isotypes of the primary antibodies.




Figure 15. Sensitivity test Polymyxin B. Bacteria in exponential phase were incubated during 10 min at 37°C with different concentrations of Polymyxin B. The CFU were enumerated after this stress condition. n=3.





Figure 16. Growth behaviour of $\Delta omp25$ and WT strains in stress conditions. Bacterial growth in Triton X-100 1% and 0.5% diluted in 2YT medium was analysed by measuring optical density during 72h every 30 min at 37 °C. n=3.




Figure 17. Sensitivity tests of the wild type and $\Delta omp25$. Bacteria in exponential and stationary phases were plated on deoxycholate 0.1 mg/ml (A) or Triton X-100 1% (B) 2YT agar plates at different dilution and incubated at 37°C for 4 days. The $\Delta omp25^+$ corresponds to the complementation strain.




Figure 18. Infection of RAW 264.7 macrophages with $\Delta omp25$ and WT strains. Isolated bacteria were plated for the time points 2h, 5h, 24h and 48h post-infection. The experiment was performed in technical and biological triplicates.

		WT	$\Delta omp25$
Exponential phase	R-LPS	2005.02	2709.10
	S-LPS	2416.16	2587.19
Stationary phase	R-LPS	1801.06	2174.50
	S-LPS	1024.88	878.40
Ratio R-LPS		1.11	1.25
Ratio S-LPS		2.36	2.95

Figure 19. R-LPS and S-LPS labelling in the wild type and $\Delta omp25$. Bacteria in exponential and stationary phase were labelled with specific antibodies against R-LPS or S-LPS. MicrobeJ software was used to analyse the Mean Fluorescence Intensity (FMI) of the R-LPS and S-LPS. Intensity values for the R-LPS and S-LPS are collected in this Table. Ratio of LPS between the exponential phase and the stationary phase was also calculated (Ratio R-LPs and S-LPS). Total number of bacteria comes from three independent experiments. WT n= 675 and $\Delta omp25$, n= 589 in exponential phase, in stationary phase WT n=698, and $\Delta omp25$, n= 729.


Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the R-LPS and S-LPS was measured in both strains and in both growth phases by the MicrobeJ software (**Figure 19**). The table represents the MFI values for each LPS version and the ratios of R-LPS and S-LPS between each LPS-version in exponential and stationary phases. In both exponential and stationary phases, the R-LPS fluorescence intensity is higher in the mutant strain than in the wild type strain. This is not the case for S-LPS, which does not show a high difference between the wild type and the mutant in both growth phases. Furthermore, the ratio of R-LPS in exponential phase /R-LPS in stationary phase suggests that the intensity of the R-LPS signal in the stationary phase is decreased only in the mutant context. In the case of the S-LPS, the intensity value is lower in the stationary phase in both the strains.

These results were confirmed by flow cytometry (**Figure 20**). Bacteria were again co-labelled with antibodies specific against R-LPS and S-LPS. Then, flow cytometry was performed and the bacteria population was classified according to the intensity of the 2nd antibodies used to label R-LPS and S-LPS. Intensity mean fluorescence revealed that the intensity of the R-LPS was higher in the mutant than in the wild type in exponential phase. The R-LPS intensity values are similar in the stationary phase.

However, in the case of S-LPS, the intensity mean fluorescence is higher in the mutant in both growth phases. Moreover, the intensity of the R-LPS increases in both strains when bacteria reach the stationary phase. On the contrary, the S-LPS intensity fluorescence decreases when they reach the stationary phase (**Figure 20 B**).

Graphs representing the distribution of the intensity mean fluorescence corresponding to R-LPS in the total bacteria population suggested that in both growth phases, the intensity of R-LPS in both growth phases was the same when strains were compared. The proportion of bacteria with the same intensity represented by a narrow peak was higher in the *Δomp25* strain (**Figure 20 A**).

R-LPS intensity distribution



		WT	$\Delta omp25$
Exponential phase	R-LPS	8576.78	14708.83
	S-LPS	57092.50	63560.27
Stationary phase	R-LPS	17044.05	16751.99
	S-LPS	19253.17	31379.83
	Ratio R-LPS	0.50	0.88
	Ratio S-LPS	2.97	2.03

Figure 20. Intensity mean fluorescence measurement by flow cytometry. Bacteria in exponential and stationary phase were labelled with specific antibodies against R-LPS and S-LPS. Flow cytometry was then performed to analyse the intensity mean fluorescence of both R-LPS and S-LPS in the two strains of interest. n=2.

Characterization of the Omp2b protein

In the $\Delta omp2b$ mutant context, we wanted to create the depletion mutant of *omp2b* in *B. abortus* 544. However, we did not succeed in the construction of this mutant. One possible reason for this could be that the complementation plasmid did not allow a sufficient level of *omp2b* expression to indeed allow the survival of the bacteria after the *omp2b* deletion.

Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of Omp2b with R-LPS

Previous results from our group have shown that Omp2b is partially colocalizing with R-LPS (data not shown). Therefore, to test if Omp2b and R-LPS have an interaction, co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of Omp2b was performed to identify possible R-LPS copurification with Omp2b in the pull-down samples. Before IP could be performed, we investigated the presence and the enrichment of different Omps in the supernatant and the pellet obtained after centrifugation of the disrupted lysate. Supernatant of hybridoma cultures containing specific monoclonal antibodies against the most abundant Omps of *B. abortus*, Omp25 and Omp2b, were used. LPS was detected in Western Blot analysis by an antibody that can recognize both R-LPS and S-LPS as it binds to the oligosaccharide core with or without the attached O-chain (Bowden *et al.*, 1995). A Western Blot was performed to detect the presence of Omp2b in the supernatant as well as in the pellet. Omp25 was used as a control to detect if other Omps different from Omp2b could be also extracted. **Figure 21A** shows that Omp25 and Omp2b could be detected in the supernatants as well as in the pellets after two centrifugation steps. R-LPS molecules are corresponding to lower molecular weight bands representing the lipid A and the core, whereas S-LPS appears as a smear at higher molecular weight corresponding to the different lengths of the attached O-chains. Bands representing Omp2b, Omp25 and LPS from the supernatant were more intense compared to those from the pellet. Therefore, even if some Omps remained in the pellet, there was enough amount of Omp2b in the supernatant to carry out the co-IP.

In order to perform the co-IP of Omp2b with R-LPS, different specific antibodies against Omp2b were tested to pull down Omp2b. A68/4D11/G11 is an antibody, which recognizes the linear form of Omp2b whereas A68/15B06/C8 better recognizes the external loops of the porin (PhD thesis J-Y. Paquet, 2000). As Omp2b could loose its conformation during the lysis procedure, both antibodies were considered for the co-IP.

After bacterial cells were lysed and centrifuged, the supernatant was incubated with the two different specific monoclonal antibodies against Omp2b. An antibody-antigen complex was formed in order to isolate Omp2b and its ligands when binding the provided antibody to protein A sepharose magnetic beads. Afterwards, the immune precipitated samples were analysed by western blot with the different antibodies against Omp2b and also with an antibody against LPS.




Figure 21. Co-immunoprecipitation of Omp2b in *B. abortus* 544 wild type strain. A) Omp extraction by cell lysis. Crude extract refers to not lysed bacteria. Omp2b and Omp25 were detected by specific monoclonal antibodies. R-LPS and S-LPS were detected with an antibody, which can recognize both LPS types. Lane 1: supernatant 1, Lane 2: supernatant 1 (1:100), Lane 3: pellet 1, Lane 4: pellet 1 (1:100), Lane 5: supernatant 2 after 2nd centrifugation of supernatant 1, Lane 6: supernatant 2 (1:100), Lane 7: pellet 2 after 2nd centrifugation of supernatant 1, Lane 8: pellet 2 (1:100), 9: crude cell extract. B) Immunoprecipitation from cell lysates. Lysed samples were incubated with antibodies against Omp2b and mixed with protein A sepharose beads to capture the immune complexes. Two different specific antibodies against Omp2b (A68/15B06/C8 or A68/4D11/G11) were used. Lane a: A68/15B06/C8 antibody Lane b: A68/4D11/G11 antibody. Lane c: TES buffer (negative control).

Mesorhizobium_sp_WSM1271_[Mesci_4839]	MEAKLKFAARPLAAVFGLLAFAGVANAADVQEEPPAPAAPMEQPLNTWSGPYAGVTLGY	60
Rhizobium_milunense_HAMBI_2971_[GA0061102_108610]	MRT---LVTTLMVSFAFGGYTAAYAADAVEQIPQPPVAQEAPAINWSGFYIGGEG--	55
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0722]	MRT---LKSLVIVSAALLPFSATAFAADAIQEPPVPAPVEVAPQ-YSWAGGYTGLYLGY	56
Phyllobacterium_sp_OV277_[SAMN05443582_10321]	MRT---LKTMLASAAVLTLSAPVLAADIITEQAPAEAYQAPQ-ADWSGAYIGAYGGY	56
	*.: : : . * . *** : : * * * * *	
Mesorhizobium_sp_WSM1271_[Mesci_4839]	GFSGRVKEPGNTVITDGFIGGGFAGYNYQIDNIVVAGVEGDIGYGLKGDNAGTEVKGGLG	120
Rhizobium_milunense_HAMBI_2971_[GA0061102_108610]	DWNRGHFNRTG--NTYAFGGGAFTGYNWQQQIYVGVGDLGYADSETSRNGLTAKNGVN	113
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0722]	GMNKAKTSTVGSIKPDDNKAGAFAGWNFQQDQIYVGVGEGDAGYSWAKKSKDGLVKGQGF	116
Phyllobacterium_sp_OV277_[SAMN05443582_10321]	GMNKNKTS-AGKLDADGFKGGAYGGYNLQNGQFVYGAEGDIGYSKAKESNFGYRAKQGE	115
 :.*: *.* *.:* *.* *.* *.* :.. * .*	
Mesorhizobium_sp_WSM1271_[Mesci_4839]	GSLRARLGYAVTPDILPYITAGGAAQSVKLTEGGISDKNTMLGWTAGVGADMKVTDNVFA	180
Rhizobium_milunense_HAMBI_2971_[GA0061102_108610]	GSVRGRIGYDFNP-FMLYGTAGLALGQNKLSOSTSSSEKTVGVGYTVGAGAEFTITNNITA	172
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0722]	GSLRARVGYDLNP-VMPYLTAGIAGSQIKLNNGLDDESKFRVGVWTAGAGLEAKLTDNILG	175
Phyllobacterium_sp_OV277_[SAMN05443582_10321]	GSVRARAGIALDP-VLLYGTGGVAIMDSKLSGPLGSESKTHIGWTAGAGAEAKITQNVIG	174
	**.* * . * . : * * * * . ** . :.: :.*.* * : :.* : .	
Mesorhizobium_sp_WSM1271_[Mesci_4839]	RVEYRYTDYGSKEFTTSGS-TRDVADTDHRIQFVGVMKF	218
Rhizobium_milunense_HAMBI_2971_[GA0061102_108610]	RLEYRYTDYGGKTFNLDG-SFSRGFDEQSVKVGIGVKF	210
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0722]	RVEYRYTYGKNHYDLAGT-TVRNKLDTDQIRVIGIGYKF	213
Phyllobacterium_sp_OV277_[SAMN05443582_10321]	RVEYRYSDYGGKDYDLGGIDSVSNKITTNEVRVGVGYKF	213
	*:****:*. * : . : : :.* * *	

Figure 22. Conservation of Omp25 protein from *B. abortus* 544 among *Rhizobiales*. Omp25 protein from *B. abortus* 544 was aligned to different similar proteins from specific species from *Mesorhizobium*, *Rhizobium* and *Phyllobacterium*. Asterisk (*) refers to fully conserved residues, colon (:) indicates conservation between two groups with strongly similar properties and period (.) indicates the two groups with weakly similar properties. The conserved AAD sequence around position 25 corresponds to the end of the signal peptide.

Results showed that Omp2b could be only pulled down from the cell lysate mix when using the antibody A68/4D11/G11 for the IP and could be only detected via western blot with this antibody as a band at 36 kDa. Bands at 25-50 kDa correspond to the denaturated heavy and light chains of the antibody used in the IP. The other antibody (A68/15B06/C8) directed against Omp2b was not able to precipitate a detectable amount of Omp2b. Besides, LPS molecules could not be detected in any of these samples under these experimental conditions, suggesting that some improvements should be done in the experimental procedure (**Figure 21 B**).

In order to make the Omp2b more accessible to the antibody during the procedure, DNase was added during the cell lysis to reduce the viscosity of the sample due to the DNA extraction. Moreover, the samples were filtered with a 0.2 µm filter in order to keep only the lysed bacteria. In this case, only the A68/4D11/G11 antibody was used for the pull down of the Omp2b as shown in the previous results, because its interaction with Omp2b during the former co-IP. Unfortunately, Omp2b was not pulled down after these new improvements (data not shown).

Conservation of Omp25 and Omp2b among Rhizobiales

Omp25 and Omp2b protein sequences were obtained from GenBank database. Both proteins were aligned against the proteome of some representative groups of the order Rhizobiales by a multiple alignment obtained with the Clustal Omega program (**Figures 22 and 23**).

Omp25 (BAB1_0722) was aligned against some phylogenetically related bacteria from the group of Rhizobiales, chosen by their high similarity when E-values from blastp were computed. **Figure 22** shows that Omp25 seems to be conserved among the Rhizobiales as similarity of any protein with Omp25 was around 45-50%. OmpA, considered as the protein homologous to Omp25, in *E. coli* presented a low similarity with Omp25 and a very different length. Therefore, it was not included in the alignment.

In the case of Omp2b (BAB1_0660), alignments showed conserved regions with homologs in all the chosen Rhizobiales. *Ochrobactrum* (55% of similarity with Omp2b) and *Mesorhizobium* (54%) presented more apparent amino acid homology than the other groups as observed in **Figure 23**.

Omp25-like proteins

Omp25 is one of the most abundant outer membrane proteins expressed in *Brucella spp.* (Cloekaert et al., 2002). Study from Salhi et al., 2003 suggested the existence of other Omp25-like proteins in *B. melitensis*.

Mesorhizobium_sp_OR53324_[MPLB_1740024]	MNIKSLLLGSAALIAVSGARAADAVTVAEPEPEAEYVKICDVYSGSYFIPGTETCLRIG	60
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0660]	MNIKSLLLGSAALVAASGAQAADAVAPEPEAEYVRVCDAYGAGYFIPGTETCLRVIH	60
Ochrobactrum_sp	MNAKIIILFSSVASLVTITSANSADYIVSPEPETVQYVRVCDAYGAGYFIPGTETCLRHI	60
Rhizobium_leguminosarum_WSM1325_[Rleg_2312]	MNIRMVLLASAAAFAASTPVLAAADIAVAEPEPEYVRVCDAYGTGYFIPGTETCLKIE	60
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens_B6_[AGR4A_Cc80057]	MNIKSLLLGSAALAAVSGAQAADIAVAEPEPEYVRVCDAYGTGYFIPGTETCLKIFG	60
Sinorhizobium_sp	MNIKSLLLGSAALAAVSGAQAADIAVAEPEPEYVRVCDAYGTGYFIPGTETCLKIKG	60
	** : :*:.*.: : : : :*: : : ** :*:.*.:*:*****:	
Mesorhizobium_sp_OR53324_[MPLB_1740024]	GYVRYDASFGQEGSFDGRHNVDRHMDGSLNDAWNKHARFTLKTWTGQETELGTLKFTET	120
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0660]	GYVRYDVKGGDDVYSGD-----RNGWDKSARFALRVSTGSETELGTLKFTTEL	109
Ochrobactrum_sp	GYVRADLKGDDNVYGRTRHNVPG-FTNNSRDYTNFLSRFTLRFSTVSETELGTLRTYAET	119
Rhizobium_leguminosarum_WSM1325_[Rleg_2312]	GYIRFQVNVGED-----VGGSDWDVAVTGRQVQFTAKSDTEYGLTGVIVM	106
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens_B6_[AGR4A_Cc80057]	GYIRFQTNFGRN-----QSGTSDWDSFTRAQFEVDTRDTDELGALRGFIGF	106
Sinorhizobium_sp	GFIRFQVDYGENAVNK-----RQGWGNAGTSDWDAFSTRAYISFDAKSDTEYGLTGFFAA	115
	:. : . * : : : : * : : : :*:.*	
Mesorhizobium_sp_OR53324_[MPLB_1740024]	RFNYTDG-----SQSGTTLNFAWIQLGGLRVGADESANFTFSGYAGNVIQDTLV	169
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0660]	RFNYAANNSSVDGKYNETSSGVTMEFAYIQLGGLRVGIDESEFHTFTGYLGDVINDDVI	169
Ochrobactrum_sp	RFQYENG-----TDSSSSGSLRFYIQLGGLRIGLDESATFTFGYAGSVINDDVI	170
Rhizobium_leguminosarum_WSM1325_[Rleg_2312]	QFNADNATD-----Q---DAILDSAYLDVAGFRAGLFYSWDD-----GLSGETD	148
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens_B6_[AGR4A_Cc80057]	RGNADNGSA-----S-SSSVFVDQAFIELGGLKVGFYSWDD-----DLNGETD	150
Sinorhizobium_sp	EFNADNDTD-----VGDSLIDVDEAYIQLGGLKAGFYNNWDD-----GLNGETD	160
	. : : : :*:.* : : : : *	
Mesorhizobium_sp_OR53324_[MPLB_1740024]	PYG--GFETNVVQYFDAGNGFSVAVSLEEGSDP--SSDA-----IVIPDGVIDSYV	217
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0660]	SAG--SYRTGKISYFTGGNGFSVAVLEEQGGDN--DG-----GYTGTTHYHIDGYM	217
Ochrobactrum_sp	MFG--GYRTNAISYFTGGNGFSVAVLEEQGGNG--DIDAGKYTLNGRTHIAGRIDKYT	226
Rhizobium_leguminosarum_WSM1325_[Rleg_2312]	DIGSVVTLHMSIRYQYESGTFYAG--LSVDELEDGVYKAD--EAMNVGVAFGVGGTAGAFS	206
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens_B6_[AGR4A_Cc80057]	ILST-NTLFLNSIKYTDAGSFQAA--LSVDELEDGYQGFQYGADNNVGIIGMIGKFGAVT	208
Sinorhizobium_sp	SLGN-FTFNSTIAYLDGGSFQAG--LSVDELEDGST-----KPNGVGVSIVSASLGGVS	213
	. : : * : .*. : : : : *	
Mesorhizobium_sp_OR53324_[MPLB_1740024]	PNVVGKVYQGWGATGVVAYDSNHEEWAGKVRLDVNIATDALSIFIMAGYSGDEN----	273
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0660]	PDVVGGLKYAGGWSIAGVAYDSVIEEWAAKVRGVNITDQFVSWLQAGYSSAA-----	272
Ochrobactrum_sp	PHVVGALRYEQGWGAVSTVAAVYDSKNEKHWAGKVRLDVNVTDQLSLVWVGYSKNDRYLA	286
Rhizobium_leguminosarum_WSM1325_[Rleg_2312]	YQITGG-----YDVNEDGAVRAMGTVDIGP--GTLGLAGVYSSGPNYSYS	250
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens_B6_[AGR4A_Cc80057]	ANLTAS-----YDFDAENVAIRGMLFADIGP--GTFGLAGVWASGDNAYYA	252
Sinorhizobium_sp	FDLLGG-----YDSELEEGAIRALLSADLGP--GVFQVAGIWSNPNAYWE	257
	. : . : ** :.* : : : : *	
Mesorhizobium_sp_OR53324_[MPLB_1740024]	-----VSRNFFKQWGGNMAVWGGGTGYKFNKTSFNVQASYDD-----	310
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0660]	-----TPDQNYGQWGGDVAWVWGLKYQATQKAANLQAAHDD-----	309
Ochrobactrum_sp	TELNSDGSFYRGIRLRDSFYGTWGGDVAWVWGGAKFKATDKAHFNQLAYED-----	337
Rhizobium_leguminosarum_WSM1325_[Rleg_2312]	-----SAEWAVAEYAIKATDKLKITPAVQYVYGNFYFGDKAV	287
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens_B6_[AGR4A_Cc80057]	-----KSEWAVAVEYAIKATDRLTLTPGFYELGLTDVNI--PA	287
Sinorhizobium_sp	-----KSEWTVAAASYRFNATDKLAITPGVQYFDNLSHG--SL	292
	..*:.* : : : : *	
Mesorhizobium_sp_OR53324_[MPLB_1740024]	-----SKNFGLAANVAYDIVPGLTITAEDWAHATKFGESWTGIPAGKNNVGGIIRF	363
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0660]	-----WGKTAVTANVAYELVPGFTVTPPEVSY--TKFGEWKNITVAE--DNWAGGIVRF	358
Ochrobactrum_sp	-----AKTFAATANVAYEMVPGFTVTPPEVSY--TKWNDKLS--LKG--KSAWQGMVRF	385
Rhizobium_leguminosarum_WSM1325_[Rleg_2312]	PDDFDGLGDAWVGLTVDYQIVDNFYAKASVQYLD-----PDDGDDSTTGFRLL	336
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens_B6_[AGR4A_Cc80057]	DGDFVGDORDAWTAGLTVDYKITEGLATKITANYD-----EDGRDDEVTFGRVL	336
Sinorhizobium_sp	-TDF-SGDDAWRAGVTLDYKITEGLATRVSVQYED-----ADGEQDQVFGFVRL	339
	. : * : . : : : *	
Mesorhizobium_sp_OR53324_[MPLB_1740024]	QRTF	367
Brucella_abortus_544_[BAB1_0660]	QRSF	362
Ochrobactrum_sp	QRSF	389
Rhizobium_leguminosarum_WSM1325_[Rleg_2312]	QRSF	340
Agrobacterium_tumefaciens_B6_[AGR4A_Cc80057]	QRTF	340
Sinorhizobium_sp	QRDF	343
	** *	

Figure 23. Conservation of Omp2b protein from *B. abortus* 544 among *Rhizobiales*. Omp2b protein from *B. abortus* 544 was aligned to different similar proteins from specific species from *Mesorhizobium*, *Ochrobactrum*, *Rhizobium*, *Agrobacterium* and *Sinorhizobium*. Asterisk (*) refers to fully conserved residues, colon (:) indicates conservation between two groups with strongly similar properties and period (.) indicates the two groups with weakly similar properties.

The corresponding Omp25-like proteins present in *B. melitensis* are named as Omp25b (BME1_1007), Omp25c (BME1_1830) and Omp25d (BME1_1829). Omp25 protein was aligned with the entire genome of *B. abortus* 544 and two possible Omp25-like proteins were found, BAB1_0115 and BAB1_0116. When the possible Omp25-like proteins were aligned with the Omp25 b-c-d from *B. melitensis*, Omp25c (BAB1_0116) and Omp25d (BAB1_0115) could be identified as the respective homologous in *B. melitensis* (**Figure 24 A**). The Omp25b corresponding homologous could not be found in *B. abortus* genome.

Moreover, Omp25 and the other Omp25-like proteins in *B. abortus* 544 were aligned to determine the similarity among them. Results showed that both Omp25-like proteins share an identity of 39% with Omp25. The similarity between them is only 59% (**Figure 24 B**).

Search for peptidoglycan-binding site(s) in Omps

Braun's lipoprotein of *E. coli*, also known as Lpp, is the only known protein from the OM, which is covalently bound to the peptidoglycan. The carbon ϵ from the C' terminal Lysine can bind to the murein and this Lysine is then covalently bound to the diaminopimelate residue in the peptide chain (Braun, 1975).

Omp25 and Omp2b are Omps proposed to bind to the peptidoglycan (Cloeckeart et al., 1992). However, when the Omp25 and Omp2b protein sequences were analysed, no Lysine was found in the C' terminal region.

Peptidoglycan associated lipoproteins (PALs) can also interact with the peptidoglycan but they are not covalently linked (Braun, 1975; Cascales and Lloubès, 2003). Koebnik (1995) predicted a motif present in the α -helix structure from the C' terminal region in OmpA from *E. coli*, which was supposed to interact with the peptidoglycan. When other lipoproteins were aligned, they exhibit the same proposed motif (NX₂LSX₂RAX₂VX₃L) including also Omp16 from *B. abortus* (Koebnik, 1995).

Therefore, the PAL motif could be alternatively considered to be the possible PG binding site in Omp2b and Omp25. The proteins known so far for binding to peptidoglycan in *E. coli* (OmpA, MotB, Pal) were aligned with their respective homologs in *B. abortus* 544 (Koebnik, 1995). The proposed motif was found in MotB and Omp16 from *B. abortus* (**Figure 25**). When the lipoproteins Omp10 and Omp19 from *Brucella* were analysed, the proposed motif could not be found anymore. Finally, Omp25 and Omp2b were added to the alignment and they did not show any related sequence to the proposed PG binding site of the PALs.

A

Omp25d_BAB1_0115_[Brucella_abortus]	-MTFKNLLGASLVAVITSTSAAYAADAIVAQE--PAPIAIAPSFWSWAGAYFGGQVGYGWGR	57
Omp25c_BAB1_0116_[Brucella_abortus]	-MKLKALLFASTVVLVAATGANAADAVIEQE--PAPVVVAPTFTWNGAYLGGQIGYAWGK	57
Omp25_BAB1_0722_[Brucella_abortus]	MRTLKSLVIVSAALLPFSATAFAADAIQEPPVPAPVEVAPQYSWAGGYTGLYLGYGWNK	60
	..* *: .* . : : * ***** * ***: **: **: * * : **.*:	
Omp25d_BAB1_0115_[Brucella_abortus]	AKLENRTNGGTSEFKPNGFIGGLYTGYNFDTGNNFILGLDANVDYNNLKSRDFITSGNP	117
Omp25c_BAB1_0116_[Brucella_abortus]	SHFSYDS-TGLGDIKPDGFLGGLYAGYNFDLGNSVVLGIDGQVYNDVSKNIDFLDENNA	116
Omp25_BAB1_0722_[Brucella_abortus]	AKTS-----TVGSIKDDWKAGAFAGWNFQQD-QIVYGVGEDAGYSWAKKSKDGL-----	109
	:: . .:****: .* :****: . .: :****: * . * . * :	
Omp25d_BAB1_0115_[Brucella_abortus]	VQTTGETQLRWSGAVRARAGYAIIDRFMPYIAGGVAFGGIKNSLRIGGEESKSKTQTGTWT	177
Omp25c_BAB1_0116_[Brucella_abortus]	A-ATFENRLRWSGAVRARAGYAVDRFLPYIAGGVAFGSLNRGEFEGTGFSSQSKTLTGTWT	175
Omp25_BAB1_0722_[Brucella_abortus]	-----EVKQGFEGSLRARVGYDLNPVMPYLTAGISQIKLNNG-----LDDESKFRVGTWT	160
	* : :.****: ** : :.****: * : : :.****: * . * . * :	
Omp25d_BAB1_0115_[Brucella_abortus]	VGAGIDYAATDNVLLRLEYRYTDYGGKNFGLNDLDRGSKTNDIRLGVAYKF	230
Omp25c_BAB1_0116_[Brucella_abortus]	IGAGMDYAATDNVILRLEYRYTDYGNKDYGFDDAAVTNNFKTNDIRFGVAYKF	228
Omp25_BAB1_0722_[Brucella_abortus]	AGAGLEAKLTDNILGRVEYRYTQYGNKNYDLAGTTVRNKLDTQDIRVGIGYKF	213
	****: ****: *****:****:****: ****:****:****	

B

Omp25-like proteins	<i>B. abortus</i>	<i>B. melitensis</i>
Omp25-b	-	BME1_1007
Omp25-c	BAB1_0116	BME1_1830
Omp25-d	BAB1_0115	BME1_1829

Figure 24. Omp25-like proteins alignment in *B. abortus* 544. Sequences of Omp25c and d from *B. melitensis* were identified as Omp25-like proteins in *B. abortus* and then they were aligned to Omp25 to study the similarities among them.

MotB_E.coli_[C22711_3921]	YSN W EL S ADRANAS R REL M VGG L
MotB_B.abortus_[BAB2_1103]	YD N RR L SSARA Q MA Y Y M LVRGG L
Omp16_B.abortus_[BAB1_1707]	-YN L AL G QRRAA T RD F LA S RG V
Pal_E.coli_[ECMDS42_0591]	-YN I SL G ERRAN A V K MY L Q G K G V
OmpA_E.coli_[APECO1_62]	-YN Q AL S ERRA Q SV D YL I SK G I
OmpA_C-like_lipoprotein_B.abortus_[BAB1_1226]	-YN Q AL S QRRAA S V A SY L DS Q GI
	* * * * *

Figure 25. Peptidoglycan associated proteins in *B. abortus* 544. Proteins known to bind peptidoglycan in *E. coli* in the motif (NX₂LSX₂RAX₂VX₃L) were aligned to their homologous in *B. abortus*.

DISCUSSION AND PERSPECTIVES

1. Characterization of the *B. abortus* $\Delta omp25$ mutant

Omp25 is a major Omp exposed to the surface of the *B. abortus*. However, its function is not well described. Omp25 is a non-essential Omp. We generated the $\Delta omp25$ deletion mutant in the *B. abortus* 544 wild type strain. Immunofluorescence microscopy experiment and western blot obviously confirmed the absence of Omp25 in the $\Delta omp25$ strain (**Figure 9** and **10A**) but also confirm the specificity of the A68/04B10/F05 monoclonal antibody for the Omp25 isoform produced from the deleted coding sequence (BAB1_0722). We could not exclude that the A68/04B10/F05 antibody would recognize several Omp25 isoforms expressed at the same time in the tested conditions. It is still possible that the A68/04B10/F05 antibody is able to recognize other Omp25 isoforms that are not produced in the conditions tested here.

After checking that unipolar growth still takes place in the $\Delta omp25$ mutant (**Figure 11**), we showed that growth kinetics in rich medium is not strongly altered (**Figure 12** and **14A**). However, when cell size was analysed, the $\Delta omp25$ strain was significantly smaller in size in comparison to the wild type (**Figure 13**). However, the complementation strain displayed the same cell sizes than the mutant. The small size of the bacteria could be caused by a point mutation somewhere else in the genome. It is also possible that the occurrence of such a mutation is enhanced by the absence of the Omp25 protein, by a genetic suppression effect. The absence of complementation could also be caused by the low expression of the *omp25* gene in the $\Delta omp25^+$ strain, which would not complement all the phenotypes present in the mutant. Indeed, in our complementation plasmid, the *omp25* gene is under the control of the *E. coli* p_{lac} promoter, which could not be strong enough to produce a sufficient amount of Omp25, a very abundant Omp, to complement the phenotype(s) of the $\Delta omp25$ strain. A western blot against Omp25 in the WT, $\Delta omp25$ and $\Delta omp25^+$ strains would be interesting to have a first indication about this matter.

Rod-shaped bacteria such as *E. coli* initiate their cell cycle once they reach a critical size (Taheri-araghi et al., 2015; Westfall and Levin, 2017). In fact, they add a constant volume (Δ) in each cell cycle before they divide regardless their initial size (Amir, 2014; Westfall and Levin, 2017). Moreover, growth rate also controls the bacteria size. Bacteria cultured under rich conditions are significantly larger and grow faster than those cultured under poor-nutrient conditions (Schaechter, Maaloe, Kjeldgaard, 1958). In order to coordinate division with growth, bacteria can adjust the Δ to maintain the cell mean size (Deforet, Van Ditmarsch, Xavier, 2015; Taheri-Araghi et al., 2015). Our data suggest that growth rate of our mutant strain is not affected compared to the wild type strain (**Figure 12**), a likely hypothesis to explain the smaller size of the $\Delta omp25$ mutant would be that it divides earlier than the wild type, probably because they reach sooner the critical volume to divide. How *B. abortus* measures this critical volume is of course unknown, but our data suggest that maybe envelope properties could be involved in this phenomenon. Nevertheless, the first step in the future research would be to obtain a satisfactory complementation strain. To test if $\Delta omp25$ divides earlier than the WT, it could be interesting to analyse the growth in real time. WT and $\Delta omp25$ bacteria growth could be analysed by time-lapse microscopy to estimate the time between two divisions, using phase contrast microscopy with bacteria cultivated on an agarose pad.

The two strains could even be analysed on the same pad if they are differently labelled (e.g. mCherry for one, GFP (Green fluorescent protein) for the other).

OmpA from *E. coli* is considered as the counterpart of Omp25 in *Brucella* (Verstrete et al., 1982). Although they are different in length and size, Omp25 is similar, regarding the secondary structure, to OmpA (Baldermann, et al., 1998). Despite the absence of similarities in the amino acid sequence between both proteins, Omp25 could display the same role than OmpA, which is involved in the membrane stability (Wang, 2000). We studied the susceptibility of the mutant when it was exposed to different stress conditions. Indeed, mutants of $\Delta ompA$ in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* are more susceptible to cationic peptides than the wild type (Lin et al., 2015; Llobet et al., 2008).

In order to examine this membrane instability, several stress agents were tested. *Brucella* appears to be more resistant to cationic peptides like polymyxin B, than other Gram-negative bacteria due to their hydrophobic surface and LPS core structure (Martínez de Tejada et al., 1995; Jensen and Halling, 2010). *B. abortus* sensitivity to polymyxin B was therefore studied but we did not detect a difference between the WT and *omp25* mutant strains (**Figure 15**). Under Triton X-100 stress conditions, wild type growth curve seemed to be altered under both concentrations tested (0.5% and 1%) since bacteria doubling time was increased ($t_d = 3.91h$ instead of 2.92h in rich medium). Detergents are used for cell lysis as they disrupt the hydrophobic-hydrophilic interactions of the molecules present in the membrane. Therefore, the membrane of the wild type bacteria could be altered, impacting doubling time. $\Delta omp25$ growth curve was also altered during Triton X-100, the t_d was decreased to 2.35h, bacteria grew better during the exponential growth phase, but the OD decreased (**Figure 16**). If Omp25 is missing in the cell membrane of bacteria, the stability of the OM could be altered. Thus, under Triton X-100 stressful conditions, bacteria would not be able to face the stress in the same way than the wild type. It should be interesting to study the stress in higher concentrations of Triton X-100 to determine at what level Triton X-100 is altering the membrane and whether this alteration is related to the growth phase. We could also study the permeability of the OM with other different test such as the susceptibility to the hydrophobic antibiotics (macrolides, rifampicins) or larger antibiotics such as Vancomycin.

This susceptibility to Triton X-100 in the mutant was also confirmed in an agar plate assay (**Figure 17B**). Bacteria were plated in 2YT agar containing Triton X-100 1% and the $\Delta omp25$ mutant displayed a growth defect that was partially complemented as $\Delta omp25^+$ bacteria were more able to grow in the exponential phase. Partial complementation may be explained by a lower abundance of Omp25 in the complementation strain compared to the wild type strain. In order to better describe the sensitivity of the bacterial envelope of the *omp25* mutant, other stress conditions such as temperature, pressure, nutrient availability, pH and other different agents altering the membrane could be also tested, and a new complementation strain should be constructed (e. g. by placing the *omp25* coding sequence under the control of its own promoter). Finally, it should be noted that bacteria are usually extracted with Triton X-100 after cellular infections. The sensitivity of the mutant to Triton X-100 should therefore be taken into account when interpreting these infection data.

Infection of non-activated RAW 264.7 macrophages was performed in order to study the attenuation of the $\Delta omp25$ mutant, since instability of the OM could lead to a decreased survival in the BCVs, like in the *bvrR* mutant (Manterola *et al.*, 2005). Our data did not show any significant difference at any time point post infection (**Figure 18**), suggesting that this deleted *omp25* gene did not have a role in the virulence of the bacteria. This could be caused by the lack of aggression by the macrophages. Infection in previously activated macrophages should then be carried out to better investigate Omp25 function in this context.

We wondered if the absence of Omp25 could alter general OM properties like the heterogeneous (patchy) distribution of R-LPS (PhD project V. Vassen). Immunofluorescence (IF) analysis and flow cytometry were performed to determine the mean fluorescence intensity of the R-LPS and S-LPS in the wild type and $\Delta omp25$ strains at different growth phases (**Figures 19 and 20**). This will correspond to the presence of these molecules on the surface since they are labelled by IF. Surprisingly, $\Delta omp25$ displayed more R-LPS fluorescence in the exponential phase (**Figure 19 and 20**). One interpretation could be that the removal of Omp25 would lead to an increase in the incorporation of R-LPS. However, S-LPS fluorescence also seemed to be increased in the flow cytometry experiment. Since it is unlikely that the *omp25* mutant incorporates more R-LPS and S-LPS than the WT, the higher MFI for R-LPS would be explained by the fact that R-LPS molecules are now more accessible to the antibodies. Omp25 secondary structure exhibit long external loops, therefore it is possible that Omp25 could be surrounded by R-LPS molecules which would be covered by these loops and thus no more accessible to the antibodies in the WT context.

Both wild type and $\Delta omp25$ presented a decrease in the content of S-LPS in the stationary phase as shown by the diminution of the mean intensity fluorescence between exponential and stationary phase (**Figure 19 and 20**). In smooth species, rough bacteria can emerge and accumulate in culture over time (Mancilla, 2016). We did not observe this in the culture conditions tested here.

Surprisingly, we also observed that Omp25 presence was variable in the wild type according to the growth phase (**Figure 10**). In exponential phase, all wild type bacteria were labelled with the antibody against Omp25, as expected. However, some bacteria were unlabelled when bacteria reached the stationary phase. Omp25 antibody used was proved to be specific against Omp25, as the $\Delta omp25$ strain did not show any labelling in any of the growth phases. Results showed that the percentage of unlabelled bacteria in the WT rises from 1% to 10% in the stationary phase. Partially labelled bacteria (bacteria with a fluorescence coverage from 10% to 90% of the whole bacterial surface) also increase from 13 to 19%. The complementation strain did show the same pattern as the wild type but with higher percentage values for unlabelled and partially labelled bacteria, particularly at the stationary phase. This could be due to the expression of the *omp25* gene in the plasmid that could be lower compared to the WT strain, as discussed earlier in this section.

IF results suggest that the expression of *omp25* is varying over time. Indeed, if Omp25 is immobile in the OM, it is likely that it stays at the place of its incorporation during growth of the envelope; thus a partial labelling could suggest that the expression level of *omp25* (and thus the abundance of Omp25 incorporation) is varying with time. CtrA is a cell regulator exclusively found in Alphaproteobacteria (Brilli *et al.*, 2010), and it was recently shown that in *B. abortus*, CtrA binds many promoters involved in cell envelope composition including genes involved in LPS biogenesis and export, but also genes involved in OM composition (Omp2b, Omp25) and the Omp incorporation (BamA) (Francis *et al.*, 2017). Omp25 expression could be partially controlled by this transcription factor. In *Caulobacter crescentus*, CtrA levels oscillate over cell cycle thus, if this oscillation is also conserved along *B. abortus* cell cycle, the expression of *omp25* could also be modulated according to the CtrA levels. The presence of CtrA is required for the production of normal amounts of Omp25 (Pini *et al.*, 2015; Francis *et al.*, 2017).

The transition from the exponential phase to stationary phase is mainly accompanied by morphological and physiological changes in bacteria, which results in a non-division state (Hirsch and Elliott, 2005). Therefore, CtrA, as a major regulator of cell division, should be less abundant or less active in stationary phase bacteria and therefore it could alter the production of Omp25. In order to examine this hypothesis, expression analysis such as RT-qPCR should be performed to determine at what level *omp25* expression depends on the growth phase.

The removal of an Omp could trigger a compensatory effect in which proteins of the same group could be expressed to take the place of the missing protein. This compensatory effect was observed in the genus *Bartonella* in the Omps considered as the homologues of the group 3 in *Brucella* (Minnick *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, experiments from Caro-Hernández *et al.*, 2007 showed that $\Delta omp31$ presented a higher expression of Omp25 in *B. ovis*. *B. abortus* is lacking the outer membrane protein Omp31b, but it displays other Omp25-like proteins. These Omp25-like Omps could be expressed in the $\Delta omp25$ mutant to take the function of the Omp25 but with a different efficiency, as the sensitivity test suggested an alteration of the outer membrane properties of the $\Delta omp25$ compared to the wild type. Expression analysis such as proteomics or RNA-sequencing should be then carried out to determine the potential abundance of the other Omp25 proteins or expression of Omp genes in the mutant.

2. Attempts to characterize Omp2b

We also wanted to characterize another major outer membrane protein, Omp2b. This protein is associated with porin activity (Douglas *et al.*, 1984). Since Omp2b is essential in *B. abortus* (Laloux *et al.*, 2010 and Tn-seq data), we planned to create a depletion strain in the wild type background. However, it was not possible to obtain it. This could be due to the promoter used in the rescue copy containing the *omp2b* gene. In the pBBRI plasmid, *omp2b* expression is under the control of the *E. coli* p_{lac} promoter. Therefore, the expression of the *omp2b* gene could not be strong enough to complement the deletion of the *omp2b* gene from the genome of *B. abortus* 544. We could use then another regulatory promoter or the endogenous promoter of *omp2b*.

Previous data from the host laboratory (data not shown) revealed that Omp2b is colocalized with R-LPS. OmpF, considered as the counterpart of Omp2b from *E. coli*, was shown to interact with LPS in a heterogeneous system, a R-LPS, S-LPS mixed system. Indeed, the different forms of LPS display different conformations. In *E. coli*, the lipid A consists of the rigid part whereas the O-chain is more flexible. In heterogeneous systems, the adjacent O-chains do not form a highly condensed pack as occurs in homogeneous groups of S-LPS. Thus, in these heterogeneous systems, the flexibility of the O-chains does not compromise the opening of the porin by shielding the pore (Patel *et al.*, 2016). Omp2b is also present in a heterogeneous system and strongly colocalized with R-LPS (V. Vassen, PhD). A biochemical approach, the co-immunoprecipitation, was then attempted to detect the potential interaction between Omp2b and R-LPS.

Before the co-IP was performed, a previous test was carried out to show the extraction of Omps from the membrane of the *B. abortus* wild type. Omp2b was successfully extracted as well as LPS (**Figure 21**). After that, Omp2b was pulled down from the sample containing all the cell fragments. Two antibodies were considered for the immunoprecipitation. A68/4D11/G11 is an antibody, which recognizes the linear form of Omp2b whereas A68/15B06/C8 better recognizes the external loops of the porin (PhD thesis J-Y Paquet, 2000). However, Omp2b could only be precipitated when A68/4D11/G11 was used. This might be due to antibodies specificities. The A68/15B06/C8 recognizes the natural conformation, which could be probably disturbed during the experiment. Antibodies against R-LPS were used to detect the presence of this molecule in the Omp2b extracted sample. Nevertheless, any band corresponding to the R-LPS could be detected, even if DNase was used during the extraction.

The interaction between Omp2b and R-LPS is not a protein-protein interaction but a protein-lipid interaction. Several biochemical and physical methods can be also used for study these types of interaction (Saliba, Vonkova and Galvin, 2015). Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) technique could also be tested. This technique measures the interaction between two biomolecules. The two molecules are coupled to two different fluorescently labelled antibodies. If they are in close proximity, there is an energy transfer from the donor to the acceptor fluorophore. In fact, one of the fluorochrome bound to a first secondary antibody is excited and will emit a photon in the emission spectrum of the fluorochrome of the other secondary antibody, giving a signal if this interaction occurs. We could test monoclonal antibodies against Omp2b and R-LPS with different isotype-specific secondary antibodies, conjugated to FRET-compatible fluorochromes.

3. Sequence analysis of Omp25 and Omp2b

Besides, Omp2b and Omp25 were also studied *in silico* in other Rhizobiales species to determine the conservation of these two proteins. Omp25 seems to be more conserved than Omp2b within the different Rhizobiales (**Figure 22** and **23**).

Rhizobiales porin proteins shared some similarity when aligned with Omp2b (**Figure 23**). The most conserved part was at the beginning of the mature sequences in which there was a highly conserved motif (FYPGTETCL), which could be further analysed in the future. This sequence could play an important role in the function of the porin.

However, it was not found in the OmpF porin from *E. coli*, suggesting that it could be associated to other functions.

Omps are proposed to bind to the peptidoglycan. *Brucella* Omps were only extracted from the outer membrane after lysozyme treatment (Moriyón et Lopez-Goni, 1998; Cloeckaert et al., 1992). One attractive hypothesis could be that the FYPGTETCL motif could be part of this binding site. To investigate this, we could create point mutations targeting these conserved residues and then study the association to the peptidoglycan by extracting the Omps in the presence/absence of lysozyme (Cloeckaert et al., 1992).

Omp25 seems to be conserved among other similar proteins from Rhizobiales species (**Figure 23**). These proteins were referred as immunogenic proteins in *Rhizobium miluonense* (GA0061102_108610) and *Phyllobacterium* spp (SAMN05443582_10321) suggesting that Omp25 could have an impact in the immune system of the host.

In the *Brucella* genome, there are other genes predicted to encode Omp25-like proteins, which were already identified in *B. melitensis* (Omp25 b-c-d) (Salhi et al., 2003). We attempted to identify these Omp25-like proteins in *B. abortus*. Omp25 protein was aligned to the entire genome of *B. abortus* and two Omp25-like proteins sequences were found as potential Omp25-like proteins (BAB1_0116 and BAB1_0115). The two possible Omp25-like proteins were then aligned to the Omp25-like proteins in *B. melitensis* and BAB1_0116 and BAB1_0115 were successfully identified in *B. abortus* 2308 as Omp25c and Omp25d (**Figure 24**). Omp25-b corresponding protein was not found in *B. abortus*. The Δ omp25 mutant could maybe use these homologous proteins to fulfil the function of Omp25 as suggested before.

Finally, several lipoproteins present in the outer membrane can be associated to the peptidoglycan with a conserved motif present in the α -helix close to the C' terminus. Moreover, OmpA from *E. coli* has been proposed to also bind to peptidoglycan (Koebnik, 1995; Moriyón et Lopez-Goni, 1998). Several lipoproteins from *B. abortus* were aligned to the *E. coli* lipoproteins associated to the peptidoglycan (**Figure 25**). However, the conserved motif was only found in Omp16 and MotB. Omp16 has similarities to Pals lipoproteins (Tibor et al., 1994). Therefore, it was not surprising that it presents this domain. MotB is a protein related to the flagellar motor (Garza et al., 1995). *Brucella* is considered as a non-motile bacterium. However, *Brucella* has the genes to construct a complete functional flagellum, but these genes seemed not to be expressed under normal conditions (Moreno and Moriyón, 2002; Fretin et al., 2005). Omp25 and Omp2b are suggested to be also associated to the peptidoglycan but the Pal conserved motif could not be found in their sequence (Cloeckaert et al., 1992).

Omp25 is considered as the homologous of OmpA from *E. coli* but they do are different in their amino acid sequences and they are also different in sizes. They share a similar β -barrel structure within the OM (Baldermann, et al., 1998). This could suggest that Omp25 may share only a few of the multiple functions of OmpA, and probably not the association to peptidoglycan.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have created a deletion mutant lacking *omp25* in *B. abortus* 544. The function of this protein is not well described until now. However, Omp25 is conserved among the order of Rhizobiales and thus, it is expected to have an important function also because it is very abundant in the membrane of the bacteria. The mutant was not impaired for growth under rich medium conditions. Nevertheless, under certain stress conditions, $\Delta omp25$ bacteria were more susceptible than the wild type suggesting a role of Omp25 in membrane integrity. The removal of the Omp25 protein could also alter the composition of the outer membrane. Mutant bacteria displayed a higher R-LPS intensity, which could be due to higher accessibility to the antibodies, as the external loops present in the Omp25 could cover LPS epitopes in the wild type strain.

Moreover, defining the function of Omp25 was not easy because *omp25* expression in the wild type seemed to be dependent on the growth phase. Some bacteria in the stationary phase were not labelled when they were incubated with specific monoclonal antibodies against Omp25. CtrA, which is a major regulator of the cell cycle, can bind to the promoter of the *omp25* gene. This could result then in a change of the *omp25* expression according to the cell cycle. It should be considered to create point mutations in the CtrA binding box in the promoter of *omp25* in order to examine if the proteins levels are changing over time.

Regarding the second most abundant Omp of *B. abortus*, we studied Omp2b, an essential porin, which is colocalized to R-LPS. LPS molecules can interact in a non-covalent manner with Omps. We tried to confirm if R-LPS and Omp2b were physically interacting by a biochemical approach, the co-immunoprecipitation. Nevertheless, it was not possible to confirm any interaction between these two molecules under the tested conditions. Other techniques such as FRET technique could be used to study this potential protein-lipid interaction.

References

- Ames, G. F., Spudich, E. N., and Nikaido, H. (1974). Protein composition of the outer membrane of *Salmonella typhimurium*: effect of lipopolysaccharide mutations. *J. Bacteriol.* 117:406-416
- Amir, A. (2014). Cell size regulation in bacteria. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(20), 1-5
- Arunmanee, W., Pathania, M., Solovyova, A. S., Le Brun, A. P., Ridley, H., Baslé, A., ... Lakey, J. H. (2016). Gram-negative trimeric porins have specific LPS binding sites that are essential for porin biogenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(34), E5034-E5043
- Atluri, V. L., Xavier, M. N., de Jong, M. F., den Hartigh, A. B., & Tsolis, R. M. (2011). Interactions of the Human Pathogenic *Brucella* Species with Their Hosts. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 65(1), 523-541
- Baldermann, C., Lupas, A., Lubieniecki, J., & Engelhardt, H. (1998). The Regulated Outer Membrane Protein Omp21 from *Comamonas acidovorans* Is Identified as a Member of a New Family of Eight-Stranded β -Sheet Proteins by Its Sequence and Properties. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 180(15), 3741-3749
- Batut, J., Andersson, S. G. E., & O'Callaghan, D. (2004). The evolution of chronic infection strategies in the α -proteobacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 2(12), 933-945
- Beveridge, T. J. (1999). Structure of gram-negative cell walls and their derived membrane vesicles. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 181(16), 4725-4733
- Bos, M. P., & Tommassen, J. (2005). Viability of a capsule and lipopolysaccharide-deficient mutant of *Neisseria* bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 16, 779-785
- Bos, M. P., Robert, V., & Tommassen, J. (2007). Biogenesis of the Gram-Negative Bacterial Outer Membrane. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 61(1), 191-214
- Boschiroli, M. L., Ouahrani-Bettache, S., Foulongne, V., Michaux-Charachon, S., Bourg, G., Allardet-Servent, A., ... Ramuz, M. (2002). Type IV secretion and *Brucella* virulence. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 90(1), 341-348
- Bowden, R. A., Cloeckert, A., Zygmunt, M. S., Bernard, S., Dubray, G. (1995). Surface exposure of outer membrane protein and lipopolysaccharide epitopes in *Brucella* species studied by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and flow cytometry. *Infect. Immun.* 63:3945-3952
- Braun, V. (1975). Covalent lipoprotein from the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 415:335-377
- BRAUN, W., GOODLOW, R. J., KRAFT, M., ALTENBERN, R., & MEAD, D. (1951). The effects of metabolites upon interactions between variants in mixed *Brucella abortus* populations. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 62(1), 45-52
- Brennan, P. J., Nikaido, H. The envelope of mycobacteria. (1995). *Annu Rev Biochem*, 64:29-6
- Brilli, M., Fondi, M., Fani, R., Mengoni, A., Ferri, L., Bazzicalupo, M., and Biondi, E. G. (2010) The diversity and evolution of cell cycle regulation in α -proteobacteria: a comparative genomic analysis. *BMC Syst Biol* 4: 52
- Brown, P. J. B., de Pedro, M. A., Kysela, D. T., Van der Henst, C., Kim, J., De Bolle, X., ... Brun, Y. V. (2012). Polar growth in the Alphaproteobacterial order Rhizobiales. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(5), 1697-1701
- Cameron, T. A., Zupan, J. R., & Zambryski, P. C. (2015). The essential features and modes of bacterial polar growth. *Trends in Microbiology*, 23(6), 347-353
- Cardoso, P. G., Macedo, G. C., Azevedo, V., & Oliveira, S. C. (2006). *Brucella* spp noncanonical LPS: structure, biosynthesis, and interaction with host immune system. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 5, 13
- Caro-Hernández, P., Fernández-Lago, L., De Miguel, M. J., Martín-Martín, A. I., Cloeckert, A., Grilló, M. J., & Vizcaino, N. (2007). Role of the Omp25/Omp31 family in outer membrane properties and virulence of *Brucella ovis*. *Infection and Immunity*, 75(8), 4050-4061
- Celli, J., Salcedo, S. P., & Gorvel, J.-P. (2005). *Brucella* coopts the small GTPase Sar1 for intracellular replication. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(5), 1673-1678
- Cloeckert, A., Zygmunt, M. S., de Wergifosse, P., Dubray, G., & Limet, J. N. (1992). Demonstration of peptidoglycan-associated *Brucella* outer-membrane proteins by use of monoclonal antibodies. *Journal of General Microbiology*, 138(Pt 7), 1543-1550
- Cloeckert, A., Verger, J. M., Grayon, M., Zygmunt, M. S., & Grépinet, O. (1996). Nucleotide sequence and expression of the gene encoding the major 25-kilodalton outer membrane protein of *Brucella ovis*: Evidence for antigenic shift, compared with other *Brucella* species, due to a deletion in the gene. *Infection and Immunity*, 64(6), 2047-2055.

- Cloekaert, A., Verger, J.-M., Grayon, M., & Vizcaíno, N. (1996). Molecular and immunological characterization of the major outer membrane proteins of *Brucella*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 145(1), 1–8
- Cloekaert, A., Vizcaíno, N., Paquet, J.-Y., Bowden, R., & Elzer, P. (2002). Major outer membrane proteins of *Brucella* spp.: past, present and future. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 90(1–4), 229–247
- Cooper, S. & Helmstetter, C.E. (1968). Chromosome replication and the division cycle of *Escherichia coli* B/r. *J Mol Biol* 31:519–540
- Corbel, M.J., Brinley-Morgan, W.J. Genus *Brucella*, (1984) In *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology* Volume 1. Edited by: Krieg NR, Holt JG. The Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore; 1984:377–388
- De Figueiredo, P., Ficht, T. A., Rice-Ficht, A., Rossetti, C. A., & Adams, L. G. (2015). Pathogenesis and immunobiology of brucellosis: Review of *Brucella*-host interactions. *American Journal of Pathology*, 185(6), 1505–1517
- De Tejada, G. M., Pizarro-Cerda, J., Moreno, E., & nnn, I. (1995). The outer membranes of *Brucella* spp. are resistant to bactericidal cationic peptides. *Infection and Immunity*, 63(8), 3054–3061
- de Wergifosse, P., P. Lintermans, J. N. Limet, and A. Cloekaert. (1995). Cloning and nucleotide sequence of the gene coding for the major 25-kilodalton outer membrane protein of *Brucella abortus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 177:1911–1914
- Ducret, A., Quardokus, E. M., & Brun, Y. V. (2016). MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. *Nature Microbiology*, 1(7), 16077
- Deforet, M., Van Ditmarsch, D., & Xavier, J. B. (2015). Cell-Size Homeostasis and the Incremental Rule in a Bacterial Pathogen. *Biophysical Journal*, 109(3), 521–528
- Deghelt, M., Mullier, C., Sternon, J.-F., Francis, N., Laloux, G., Dotreppe, D., ... de Bolle, X. (2014). G1-arrested newborn cells are the predominant infectious form of the pathogen *Brucella abortus*. *Nature Communications*, 5, 4366
- Delcour, A. H. (2009). Outer Membrane Permeability and Antibiotic Resistance. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1794(5), 808–816
- DelVecchio, V. G., Kapatral, V., Redkar, R. J., Patra, G., Mújer, C., Los, T., ... Overbeek, R. (2002). The genome sequence of the facultative intracellular pathogen *Brucella melitensis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 99(1), 443–448
- Douglas, J. T., Rosenberg, E. Y., Nikaido, H., Verstrete, D. R., & Winter, A. J. (1984). Porins of *Brucella* species. *Infection and Immunity*, 44(1), 16–21
- Dubray, G., & Bezaud, G. (1980). Isolation of three *Brucella abortus* cell-wall antigens protective in murine experimental brucellosis. *Annales de Recherches Vétérinaires*, 11(4), 367–373
- Edmonds, M. D., Cloekaert, A. & Elzer, P.H. (2002). *Brucella* species lacking the major outer membrane protein Omp25 are attenuated in mice and protect against *Brucella melitensis* and *Brucella ovis*. *Vet. Microbiol.* 88, 205–221. 10.1016/S0378-1135(02)00110-4
- Ficht, T. A., Bearden, S. W., Sowa, B. A., & Adams, L. G. (1989). DNA sequence and expression of the 36-kilodalton outer membrane protein gene of *Brucella abortus*. *Infection and Immunity*, 57(11), 3281–3291
- Ficht, T. A., H. S. Hussein, J. Derr, and S. W. Bearden. (1996). Species-specific sequences at the omp2 locus of *Brucella* type strains. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 46:329–331
- Ficht, T. A., S. W. Bearden, B. A. Sowa, and H. Marquis. (1990). Genetic variation at the omp2 porin locus of the brucellae: species-specific markers. *Mol. Microbiol.* 4:1135–1142
- Ficht, T. A., S. W. Bearden, B. A. Sowa, and L. G. Adams. (1988). A 36-kilodalton *Brucella abortus* cell envelope protein is encoded by repeated sequences closely linked in the genomic DNA. *Infect. Immun.* 56:2036–2046
- Francis, N., Poncin, K., Fioravanti, A., Vassen, V., Willemart, K., Ong, T. A. P., ... De Bolle, X. (2017). CtrA controls cell division and outer membrane composition of the pathogen *Brucella abortus*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 103(5), 780–797
- Fretil, D., Fauconier, A., Köhler, S., Halling, S., Léonard, S., Nijssens, C., Ferooz, J., Lestrade, P., Delrue, R., Danese, I., Vandenhaute, J., Tibor, A., DeBolle, X. and Letesson, J. (2005). The sheathed flagellum of *Brucella melitensis* is involved in persistence in a murine model of infection. *Cellular Microbiology*, 7(5), pp.687–698
- Garza, A. G., Harris-Haller, L. W., Stoeber, R. A., & Manson, M. D. (1995). Motility protein interactions in the bacterial flagellar motor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 92(6), 1970–1974
- Gatsos, X., Perry, A. J., Anwari, K., Dolezal, P., Wolyne, P. P., Likić, V. A., ... Lithgow, T. (2008). Protein secretion and outer membrane assembly in Alphaproteobacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 32(6), 995–1009

Gomez-Miguel, M. J., & Moriyon, I. (1986). Demonstration of a peptidoglycan-linked lipoprotein and characterization of its trypsin fragment in the outer membrane of *Brucella* spp. *Infection and Immunity*, 53(3), 678–684

GOODLOW, R. J., TUCKER, L., BRAUN, W., & MIKA, L. A. (1952). Effect of the isomeric configuration of the source of nitrogen on changes in population and metabolism in cultures of *Brucella*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 63(5), 681–685

Gram, C. (1884). Ueber die isolirte Färbung der Schizomyceten in Schnitt- und Trockenpräparaten. *Fortschritte der Medizin*, Vol. 2, pages 18.5–189

Guzmán-Verri, C., Manterola, L., Sola-Landa, A., Parra, A., Cloeckert, A., Garin, J., ... López-Gofí, I. (2002). The two-component system BvrR/BvrS essential for *Brucella abortus* virulence regulates the expression of outer membrane proteins with counterparts in members of the Rhizobiaceae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 99(19), 12375–12380

Hallez, R., Bellefontaine, A.-F., Letesson, J.-J., & De Bolle, X. (2004). Morphological and functional asymmetry in α -proteobacteria. *Trends in Microbiology*, 12(8), 361–365

Hirsch, M., & Elliott, T. (2005). Stationary-Phase Regulation of RpoS Translation in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 187(21), 7204–7213

Howell, M., & Brown, P. J. (2016). Building the bacterial cell wall at the pole. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 34, 53–59

Ishida, H., Garcia-Herrero, A., & Vogel, H. J. (2014). The periplasmic domain of *Escherichia coli* outer membrane protein A can undergo a localized temperature dependent structural transition. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, 1838(12), 3014–3024

Jahans, K. L., G. Foster, and E. S. Broughton. (1997). The characterization of *Brucella* strains isolated from marine mammals. *Vet. Microbiol.* 57, 373–382

Jap, B. K., and P. J. Walian. (1996). Structure and functional mechanism of porins. *Physiol. Rev.* 76:1073–1088

Jensen, A. E., & Halling, S. M. (2010). Effect of polymyxin B and environmental conditions on isolation of *Brucella* species and the vaccine strain RB51. *Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, 33(2), 121–131

Jubier-Maurin, V., R.-A. Boigegrain, A. Cloeckert, A. Gross, M.-T. Alvarez-Martinez, A. Terraza, J. Liautard, S. Kohler, B. Rouot, J. Dornand, and J.-P. Liautard. (2001). Major outer membrane protein Omp25 of *Brucella suis* is involved in inhibition of tumor necrosis factor alpha production during infection of human macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* 69:4823–4830

Koch, A. L. (1996). WHAT SIZE SHOULD A BACTERIUM BE? A Question of Scale. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 50, 317–48. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.50.1.317>

Koebnik, R. (1995). Proposal for a peptidoglycan-associating alpha-helical motif in the C-terminal regions of some bacterial cell-surface proteins. *Molecular Microbiology*, 16(6), pp.1269–1270

Koebnik, R., Locher, K. P., & Van Gelder, P. (2000). Structure and function of bacterial outer membrane proteins: barrels in a nutshell. *Molecular Microbiology*, 37(2), 239–253

Konovalova, A., Kahne, D. E., & Silhavy, T. J. (2017). Outer Membrane Biogenesis. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 71(1), 539–556. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093754>

Koplow, J. & Goldfine, H (1974) Alterations in the outer membrane of the cell envelope of heptose-deficient mutants of *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 117(2):527–543

Laloux, G., Deghelt, M., de Barse, M., Letesson, J. J., & de Bolle, X. (2010). Identification of the essential *Brucella melitensis* porin Omp2b as a suppressor of Bax-induced cell death in yeast in a genome-wide screening. *PLoS ONE*, 5(10)

Lam, H., Oh, D.-C., Cava, F., Takacs, C. N., Clardy, J., de Pedro, M. A., & Waldor, M. K. (2009). D-amino Acids Govern Stationary Phase Cell Wall Re-Modeling in Bacteria. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 325(5947), 1552–1555

Laub, M.T., Chen, S.L., Shapiro, L., and McAdams, H.H. (2002) Genes directly controlled by CtrA, a master regulator of the *Caulobacter* cell cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99: 4632–4637

Leive, L. (1974). The barrier function of the gram-negative envelope. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 235:109–127 lipopolysaccharide production. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54, 4971–4977

Levin, P. A., & ;, E. R. (2015). Small but mighty: Cell size and bacteria. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 7(7), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a019216>

Lin, M., Tsai, P., Chen, J., Lin, Y. and Lan, C. (2015). OmpA Binding Mediates the Effect of Antimicrobial Peptide LL-37 on *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *PLOS ONE*, 10(10), p.e 0141107

Llobet, E., March, C., Gimenez, P. and Bengoechea, J. (2008). *Klebsiella pneumoniae* OmpA Confers Resistance to Antimicrobial Peptides. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 53(1), pp.298–302

Luirink, J., Heijne, G., Houben, E. & Gier, J. (2005). Biogenesis of inner membrane proteins in *Escherichia coli*. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 59(1), pp.329-355

Mancilla, M. (2016). Smooth to Rough Dissociation in *Brucella*: The Missing Link to Virulence. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 5(January), 1-7

Mancilla, M., Marín, C. M., Blasco, J. M., Zárraga, A. M., López-Goñi, I., & Moriyón, I. (2012). Spontaneous excision of the O-polysaccharide *wbkA* glycosyltransferase gene is a cause of dissociation of smooth to rough *Brucella* colonies. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 194(8), 1860-1867

Manterola, L., Moriyón, I., Moreno, E., Sola-Landa, A., Weiss, D. S., Koch, M. H. J., ... López-Goñi, I. (2005). The lipopolysaccharide of *Brucella abortus* BvrS/BvrR mutants contains lipid A modifications and has higher affinity for bactericidal cationic peptides. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 187(16), 5631-5639

Marquis, H., & Ficht, T. A. (1993). The *omp2* gene locus of *Brucella abortus* encodes two homologous outer membrane proteins with properties characteristic of bacterial porins. *Infection and Immunity*, 61(9), 3785-3790

Martínez de Tejada, G., Pizarro-Cerdá, J., Moreno, E., & Moriyón, I. (1995). The outer membranes of *Brucella* spp. are resistant to bactericidal cationic peptides. *Infection and Immunity*, 63(8), 3054-3061

McQuiston, J. R., Vemulapalli, R., Inzana, T. J., Schurig, G. G., Sriranganathan, N., Fritzinger, D., ... Boyle, S. M. (1999). Genetic characterization of a Tn5-disrupted glycosyltransferase gene homolog in *Brucella abortus* and its effect on lipopolysaccharide composition and virulence. *Infection and Immunity*, 67(8), 383

Minnick, M. F., Sappington, K. N., Smitherman, L. S., Andersson, S.

G. E., Karlberg, O., & Carroll, J. A. (2003). Five-Member Gene Family of *Bartonella quintana*. *Infection and Immunity*, 71(2), 814-821

Mobasheri, H., T. A. Ficht, H. Marquis, E. J. Lea, and J. H. Lakey. (1997). *Brucella* Omp2a and Omp2b porins: single channel measurements and topology prediction. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 155:23-30

Moffatt JH et al. (2010). Colistin resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* is mediated by complete loss of endotoxin, a potential vaccine candidate. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 7569-7577

Moreno, E. (2014). Retrospective and prospective perspectives on zoonotic brucellosis. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5(MAY), 1-18

Moreno, E., & Moriyón, I. (2006). The Genus *Brucella*. *Prokaryotes*. 5: 315-456

Moriyón I, Lopez-Goni I. (1998) .Structure and properties of the outer membranes of *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis*. *Int Microbiol. Mar*;1(1):19-26

Moriyón, I., & Berman, D. T. (1982). Effects of nonionic, ionic, and dipolar ionic detergents and EDTA on the *Brucella* cell envelope. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 152(2), 822-828

Mullineaux, C. W., Nenninger, A., Ray, N., & Robinson, C. (2006). Diffusion of Green Fluorescent Protein in Three Cell Environments in *Escherichia Coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 188(10), 3442-3448

Myeni, S., Child, R., Ng, T. W., Kupko, J. J., Wehrly, T. D., Porcella, S. F., ... Celli, J. (2013). *Brucella* Modulates Secretory Trafficking via Multiple Type IV Secretion Effector Proteins. *PLoS Pathogens*, 9(8)

Nikaido, H. & Vaara, M. (1985). Molecular Basis of Bacterial Outer Membrane Permeability, 49(1), 1-32

Nikaido, H., and T. Nakae. (1979). The outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria. *Adv. Microb. Physiol.* 20:163-250

Paquet, J. Y., X. De Bolle, P. Mertens, S. Genevrois, A. Tibor, E. Depireux, J. H. Lakey, and J. J. Letesson (1999). Antigenic properties of *Brucella* Omp2a and Omp2b porins purified from recombinant *Escherichia coli* and renatured in vitro. *Arch. Physiol. Biochem.* 107:B20

Paquet, J., Diaz, M., Genevrois, S., Grayon, M., Verger, J., De Bolle, X., Lakey, J., Letesson, J. and Cloeckert, A. (2001). Molecular, Antigenic, and Functional Analyses of Omp2b Porin Size Variants of *Brucella* spp. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 183(16), pp.4839-4847

Pasqualini, R., & Arap, W. (2004). Hybridoma-free generation of monoclonal antibodies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101(1), 257-259

- Patel, D. S., Re, S., Wu, E. L., Qi, Y., Klebba, P. E., Widmalm, G., ... Im, W. (2016). Dynamics and Interactions of OmpF and LPS: Influence on Pore Accessibility and Ion Permeability. *Biophysical Journal*, 110(4), 930–938
- Peng, D., Hong, W., Choudhury, B.P., Carlson, R.W., Gu, X.X. (2005) *Moraxella catarrhalis* bacterium without Physiol. 20:163-250
- Pini, F., De Nisco, N.J., Ferri, L., Penterman, J., Fioravanti, A., Brilli, M., et al. (2015) Cell Cycle Control by the Master Regulator CtrA in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *PLoS Genet* 11: e1005232
- Pizarro-Cerdá, J., Méresse, S., Parton, R. G., Van Der Goot, G., Sola-Landa, A., Lopez-Goni, I., ... Gorvel, J. P. (1998). *Brucella abortus* transits through the autophagic pathway and replicates in the endoplasmic reticulum of nonprofessional phagocytes. *Infection and Immunity*, 66(12), 5711–5724
- Rittig, M. G., Kaufmann, A., Robins, A., Shaw, B., Sprenger, H., Gerns, D., ... Dornand, J. (2003). Smooth and rough lipopolysaccharide phenotypes of *Brucella* induce different intracellular trafficking and cytokine / chemokine release in human monocytes. *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*, 74(December), 1045–1055
- Roest, H.P., Mulders, I.H.M., Wijffelman, C.4. and Lugtenberg, B.J.J. (1995) Isolation of *ropB*, a gene encoding a 22-kDa *Rhizobium leguminosarum* outer membrane protein. *Mol.Plant-Microbe Interact.* 8, 576-583
- Salhi, I., Boigegrain, R.-A., Machold, J., Weise, C., Cloeckert, A., & Rouot, B. (2003). Characterization of new members of the group 3 outer membrane protein family of *Brucella* spp. *Infection and Immunity*, 71(8), 4326–32
- Saliba, A.-E., Vonkova, I., & Gavin, A.-C. (2015). The systematic analysis of protein–lipid interactions comes of age. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 16(12), 753–761
- Schaechter, M., Maaloe, O. & Kjeldgaard, N.O. (1958). Dependency on medium and temperature of cell size and chemical composition during balanced growth of *Salmonella typhimurium*. *J Gen Microbiol.* 19:592–606
- Schulz, H. N., & Jørgensen, B. B. (2001). Big bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 55, 105–137
- Schwechheimer, C., & Kuehn, M. J. (2015). Outer-membrane vesicles from Gram-negative bacteria: biogenesis and functions. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 13(10), 605–619
- Sharpe, M.E., Hauser, P.M., Sharpe, R.G., Errington, J. (1998). *Bacillus subtilis* cell cycle as studied by fluorescence microscopy: constancy of cell length at initiation of DNA replication and evidence for active nucleoid partitioning. *J Bacteriol* 180:547–555
- Silhavy, T., Kahne, D., & Walker, S. (2010). The bacterial cell envelope. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 2(5), 1–16
- Simpson, B.W., May, J.M., Sherman, D.J., Kahne, D., & Ruiz N. (2015) Lipopolysaccharide transport to the cell surface: biosynthesis and extraction from the inner membrane. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 370: 20150029
- Smith, L. D., & Ficht, T. a. (1990). Pathogenesis of *Brucella*. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 17, 209–230
- Sperandio, P., Martorana, A. M., & Polissi, A. (2017). Lipopolysaccharide biogenesis and transport at the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*, 1862(11), 1451–1460
- Starr, T., Ng, T. W., Wehrly, T. D., Knodler, L. A., & Celli, J. (2008). *Brucella* intracellular replication requires trafficking through the late endosomal/lysosomal compartment. *Traffic*, 9(5), 678–694
- Steeghs, L., De Cock, H., Evers, E., Zomer, B., Tommassen, J. & Van der Ley, P. (2002) Outer membrane composition of a lipopolysaccharide-deficient *Neisseria meningitidis* mutant. *EMBO J.* 20, 6937–6945
- Steeghs, L., De Cock, H., Evers, E., Zomer, B., Tommassen, J. & Van der Ley, P. (2002) Outer membrane composition of a lipopolysaccharide-deficient *Neisseria meningitidis* mutant. *EMBO J.* 20, 6937–6945
- Steeghs L, den Hartog R, den Boer, A, Zomer B, Roholl P, van der Ley P. (1998) Meningitis bacterium is viable without endotoxin. *Nature* 392, 449–450
- Taheri-araghi, S., Bradde, S., Sauls, J. T., Hill, N. S., Levin, P. A., Paulsson, J., ... Jun, S. (2015). Cell-Size Control and Homeostasis in Bacteria. *Current Biology*, 25(3), 385–391
- Tibor, A., Decelle, B., & Letesson, J. J. (1999). Outer membrane proteins Omp10, Omp16, and Omp19 of *Brucella* spp. are lipoproteins. *Infection and Immunity*, 67(9), 4960–4962
- Tibor, A., Saman, E., De Wergifosse, P., Cloeckert, A., Limet, J. N., & Letesson, J. J. (1996). Molecular characterization, occurrence, and immunogenicity in infected sheep and cattle of two minor outer membrane proteins of *Brucella abortus*. *Infection and Immunity*, 64(1), 100–107

- Tibor, A., Weynants, V., Denoel, P., Lichtfouse, B., De Bolle, X., Saman, E., Letesson, J. J. (1994). Molecular cloning, nucleotide sequence, and occurrence of a 16.5-kilodalton outer membrane protein of *Brucella abortus* with similarity to pal lipoproteins. *Infection and Immunity*, 62(9), 3633–3639
- Vakorina, I., Novikova, O. D., Krasikova, I. N., Naberezhnykh G. N., Solov'ev Yu. T. F., Ovodov S. (2003) Interaction of porin from *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* with different structural forms of endogenous lipopolysaccharide. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* 68(9):976–983
- Van Der Henst, C., De Barse, M., Zorreguieta, A., Letesson, J. J., & De Bolle, X. (2013). The *Brucella* pathogens are polarized bacteria. *Microbes and Infection*, 15(14–15), 998–1004
- Verstrete, D. R., Creasy, M. T., Caveney, N. T., Baldwin, C. L., Blab, M. W., & Winter, A. J. (1982). Outer membrane proteins of *Brucella abortus*: isolation and characterization. *Infection and Immunity*, 35(3), 979–989
- Viljanen, P., & Vaara, M. (1984). Susceptibility of gram-negative bacteria to polymyxin B nonapeptide. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 25(6), 701–705
- Vizcaíno, N., Cloeckert, A., Zygmunt, M. S., & Dubray, G. (1996). Cloning, nucleotide sequence, and expression of the *Brucella melitensis* omp31 gene coding for an immunogenic major outer membrane protein. *Infection and Immunity*, 64(9), 3744–3751
- Vizcaino, N., Verger, J., Grayon, M., Zygmunt, M. S., & Cloeckert, A. (1997). *Brucella* spp.: evidence for a large deletion in *Brucella abortus*, and other species-specific markers DNA polymorphism at the omp-37 locus of. *Microbiology*, 143(1997), 2913–2929
- von Bargen, K., Gorvel, J. P., & Salcedo, S. P. (2012). Internal affairs: Investigating the *Brucella* intracellular lifestyle. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 36(3), 533–562
- Wang, Y. (2002). The Function of OmpA in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 292(2), pp.396–401
- Weart, R. B., Lee, A. H., Chien, A.-C., Haeusser, D. P., Hill, N. S., & Levin, P. A. (2007). A metabolic sensor governing cell size in bacteria. *Cell*, 130(2), 335–347
- Westfall, C. S., & Levin, P. A. (2017). Bacterial Cell Size: Multifactorial and Multifaceted. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 71, 499–517
- Winter, A.J. & Rowe, G.E.(1988). Comparative immune responses to native cell envelope antigens and the hot sodium dodecyl sulfate insoluble fraction (PG) of *Brucella abortus* in cattle and mice. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 18, 149–163
- Yanagi, M., Yamasato, K., (1993). Phylogenetic analysis of the family Rhizobiaceae and related bacteria by sequencing of 16 S rRNA gene using PCR and DNA sequencer. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 107, 115–120
- Zhang, G., Meredith, T.C., Kahne, D. (2013) On the essentiality of lipopolysaccharide to Gram-negative bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 16, 779–785

Supplementary data

Concerned gene	Name	Sequence
<i>omp25</i> (BAB1_0772)	Omp25_up_fw_SpeI	5'- CTA GAA CTA GTG AAT CAC AGG CGT TTT CA -3'
	Omp25_up_rev	5'- CGT GTC CAA TTA TGC TAT AAG GCA TTC TCC TTA CAC AA -3'
	Omp25_dw_fw	5'- TTA TAG CAT AAT TGG ACA CG -3'
	Omp25_dw_rv_SalI	5'- TGC ACG TCG ACG GAC AAA CAT GAC ACA GA -3'
	Omp25_for_KpnI	5'- CTA GAG GTA CCA TGC GCA CTC TTA AGT CT -3' (Complementation strain)
	Omp25_rev_BamHI	5'- TGC ACG GAT CCT TAG AAC TTG TAG CCG ATG -3' (Complementation strain)
<i>omp2b</i> (BAB1_0660)	Omp2b_up_fw_SpeI	5'- CTA GAA CTA GTT AGC CTG GAA AAA CAG AGA TTC AG -3'
	Omp2b_up_rv	5'- CCT GTC CCC ATC CCC TAA -3'
	Omp2b_dw_fw	5'- AAT TAG GGG ATG GGG ACA GGT CCA TTA CGG ATT GGA TAC AGA AAC -3'
	Omp2b_dw_rv_SalI	5'- TGC ACG TCG ACC ATA AAT CGC TTC ATC TGA AAC C -3'
	Omp2b_fw_BamH	5'- CTA GAG GAT CCT TGT CCT TCA GCC AAA TC -3' (Rescue copy)
	Omp2b_rv_XhoI	5'- TGC ACC TCG AGT TAG AAC GAA CGC TGG AA -3' (Rescue copy)

Table S1 | Primer table

Composition gels

Stacking gel	Running gel
Tris-HCL 0.5M pH 6.6	Tris-HCL 1.5M pH 8.8
SDS 10%	SDS 10%
Ammonium persulfate (APS) 10%	Ammonium persulfate (APS) 10%
Acrylamide/ Bis acrylamide 12%,	Acrylamide/ Bis acrylamide 12%,
Tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED)	Tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED)
H ₂ O	H ₂ O

Table S2 | SDS PAGE gel compsoition

Antibodies used

Antibodies used		Technique
LPS	A68/24D87/G9	WB for OM extraction
Omp25	A68/04B10/F05	IF, WB for confirmation mutant, WB for OM extraction
Omp10	A68/7G11/C10	WB loading control
Omp2b	A68/15B06/C8	Co-IP pull down and WB detection
Omp2b	A68/4D11/G11	Co-IP pull down, WB and WB for OM extraction
R-LPS	A68/3F3/D5	IF, Flow citometry
S-LPS	B66/4F9	IF, Flow citometry

Table S3 | Antibodies used (undiluted hybridoma culture). Co-IP: co-immunoprecipitation, IF: immunofluorescence, WB: western blot, OM: outer membrane